

APPENDIX

RECONSTRUCTION OF EMPEDOCLES' POEM

Apostolos Pierris



INTRODUCTION

The proposed reconstruction presents the poem in two books. The *Kaθappaōi* are considered to be the Prooemium of the work, figuring as first part of Book A'. (It is perhaps significant that the number of verses in the reconstruction preceding B17.1 = v. 233 Martin-Primavesi is 207. In this view therefore there are missing some 26 verses from the part of the work going before the revelation of the doctrine of cosmic cyclicity).

The general structure of the poem, as well as subdivisions into sections of each book, are indicated in corresponding chapter headings.

The main doctrine of the work, represented in its basic articulation, consists in a strict, double-phased Cosmic Cycle. The physical basis of this doctrine is analysed in my paper. Its abstract statement in B17.1-13 esp. vv. 1-5 is binding [1]. The second book of the poem unravels the details of the World's cyclic process from *Σφαῖρος* to *Σφαῖρος* via the two succeeding phases of Ascending *Nēikos* and Ascending *Φιλία*. As limiting condition between these two phases in that process lies the state of Strife's total supremacy. We are now rapidly approaching this state [2]. The two phases of the Cosmic Cycle are homologous [3], but in the reverse order [4].

Conformably to Aristotle's testimony there is no cosmogony reported in the phase of Ascending Friendship [5]. But there are accounts of the two respective zoogenies, one starting with the *οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι*, the other with monstrosities (single members and then misfits) [6].

The few testimonies as to the location of particular fragments in the body of the poem are observed. For instance the account of the constitution of bones (B96) is put in the section explaining the general theory of mixture (Nos. 67-75) rather than in the part detailing the theory of the constitution of organic tissues, parts and organs (Book

B', Nos. 136-155), because of Simplicius' specific ascription of it to the first book (In Phys. 300.19 sqq.). Similarly, views at to foetal development (B153a) have to be included in the Prooemium = *Καθαρμό* on similar grounds. We should in any case bear always in mind that Empedocles indulged in repetitions of, and variations on, the same theme or formulaic expression (adding further dimensions as he was going along) both for stylistic reasons and for such of substance. One example is the restatement of the crucial doctrine of the double-phased cosmic cyclicity in B26 (= No. 66) after B17 (= No. 60). Another pivotal case is a(ii) 18-19 (in No. 60) and B35.3-4 (in No. 129). In particular, this last variation helps us decisively to correctly understand the mechanics of the cosmic cyclicity, as I have argued in my paper. The method of repetition and its rationale are explicitly expressed by Empedocles himself, B25 (= No. 64) and B24 (= No. 65) [7].

NOTES

- [1] An intended discussion at the concluding session in the Symposium of the overall philosophical and historical question of strict or loose cyclicity in the Empedoclean Κόομος, concentrated instead chiefly on the interpretation of the five beginning verses of B17. Here is the entire passage:

*B 17.1 δίπλ' ἐρέω· τοτὲ μὲν γὰρ ἐν ηὔξηθη μόνον εἶναι
 ἐκ πλεόνων, τοτὲ δ' αὖ διέφυ πλέον' ἐξ ἐνὸς εἶναι.
 δοιὴ δὲ θητῶν γένεσις, δοιὴ δ' ἀπόλευψις·
 τὴν μὲν γὰρ πάντων σύνοδος τίκτει τ' ὀλέκει τε,
 ἡ δὲ πάλιν διαφυομένων θρεφθεῖσα διέπτη.
 καὶ ταῦτ' ἀλλάσσοντα διαμπερές οὐδαμὰ λήγει,
 ἄλλοτε μὲν Φιλότητι συνερχόμεν' εἰς ἐν ἄπαντα,
 ἄλλοτε δ' αὖ δίχ' ἔκαστα φορεύμενα Νείκεος ἔχθει.
 «οὕτως ἦ μὲν ἐν ἐκ πλεόνων μεμάθηκε φύεσθαι»
 10. ἡδὲ πάλιν διαφύντος ἐνὸς πλέον' ἐκτελέθουσι,
 τῇ μὲν γίγνονται τε καὶ οὕ σφισιν ἔμπεδος αἰών.
 ἦ δὲ διαλλάσσοντα διαμπερές οὐδαμὰ λήγει,
 ταῦτη δ' αἰὲν ἔασιν ἀκίνητοι κατὰ κύκλον.*

- ἀλλ’ ἄγε μύθων κλῦθι· μάθη γάρ τοι φρένας αὔξει·
 ὡς γὰρ καὶ πρὸν ἔειπα πιφαύσκων πείρατα μύθων,
 δίπλ’ ἐρέω· τοτὲ μὲν γὰρ ἐν τῷ ξήθῃ μόνον εἶναι
 ἐκ πλεόνων, τοτὲ δ’ αὐδὲφυν πλέον’ ἐξ ἐνὸς εἶναι,
 πῦρ καὶ ὕδωρ καὶ γαῖα καὶ ἥρεος ἅπλετον ὑψος
 Νεῖκός τ’ οὐλόμενον δίχα τῶν, ἀτάλαντον ἀπάντη,
 20. καὶ Φιλότης ἐν τοῖσιν, ἵση μῆκός τε πλάτος τε·
 τὴν σὺ νόῳ δέρκευ, μηδ’ ὅμμασιν ἥσο τεθηπώς·
 ἥτις καὶ θνητοῖσι νομίζεται ἔμφυτος ἄρθροις,
 τῇ τε φίλα φρονέουσι καὶ ἄρθρια ἔργα τελοῦσι,
 Γηθοσύνην καλέοντες ἐπώνυμον ἡδ’ Ἀφροδίτην·
 τὴν οὐ τις μετὰ τοῖσιν ἐλισσομένην δεδάηκε
 θνητὸς ἀνήρ· σὺ δ’ ἄκουε λόγου στόλον οὐκ ἀπατηλόν.
- ταῦτα γὰρ ἵσα τε πάντα καὶ ἥλικα γένναν ἔασι,
 τιμῆς δ’ ἄλλης ἄλλο μέδει, πάρα δ’ ἥθος ἑκάστῳ,
 ἐν δὲ μέρει κρατέουσι περιπλομένοιο χρόνοιο.
 30. καὶ πρὸς τοῖς οὕτ’ ἄρ τι ἐπιγίνεται οὐδ’ ἀπολήγει·
 εἴτε γάρ ἐφθείροντο διαμπερές, οὐκέτ’ ἀν ἥσταν·
 τοῦτο δ’ ἐπαυξήσει τὸ πᾶν τί κε; καὶ πόθεν ἐλθόν;
 πῇ δέ κε κηξαπόλοιτο, ἐπεὶ τῶνδ’ οὐδὲν ἔργμον;
 ἀλλ’ αὐτ(ὰ) ἔστιν ταῦτα, δι’ ἀλλήλων δὲ θέοντα
 γίγνεται ἄλλοτε ἄλλα καὶ ἥμεκὲς αἰὲν ὄμοια.

The subject here is the cosmic whole, and not primarily or directly what happens to individual entities. For the same principles that operate in the World history at large, govern also each thing's fate. But when the latter is at stake, it is made clear by appropriate qualifications. As in B20, where the unity meant is the oneness of a particular organic body at the acme of its existence, at which stage displays maximal cohesion; as against its approaching the limit of its life at the point of its dissolution; the matter being rendered manifest by the formulation:

τοῦτο μὲν ἀν βροτέων μελέων ἀριδείκετον ὕγκον·
 ἄλλοτε μὲν Φιλότητι συνερχόμεν’ εἰς ἐν ἀπαντα
 γυῖα, τὰ σῶμα λέλογχε, βίον θαλέθοντος ἐν ἀκμῇ·
 ἄλλοτε δ’ αὐτε κακῆσι διατμηθέντ’ Ἐρίδεσσι
 πλάζεται ἄνδιχ ἔκαστα περιρρηγμῖνι βίοιο.

The volume of mortal members (*ὅγκος βροτέων μελέων*) forms the strongest unity of all parts of the body (*συνερχόμενα εἰς ἐν ἄπαντα γυῖα, τὰ σῶμα λέλογχε*), when life is at a pitch and this is due to the operation of Friendship. Strife (*κακῆσι ἐρίδεσσι*) separates (*διατμηθέντα*) those members and parts destroying (*πλάζεται ἀνδιχ' ἔκαστα*) that unity at the verge of life (*περὶ ρρηγμῶν βίου*).

Contrariwise in B17 we are at the level of what befalls the World as a whole. The One here leaves nothing beside it, having integrated all existence: *ἐν ηὐξήθη μόνον εἶναι*. The talk is of *ἄπαντα* simpliciter that have come together into the One (v. 7 and cf. v. 4), not of *ἄπαντα γυῖα* or anything similarly qualified. Moreover, what these *ἄπαντα* are is given in the passage itself: they are the cosmic elements in their universal role, not as entering into the constitution of particular things as such. For in vv. 18-20 the ultimate factors of existence are mentioned and the physical relationship of the two principles to the four roots is indicated. And in vv. 21-26 a contrast is being precisely emphasised between the recognition of Aphrodite's works in the communicability of human particulars and the total darkness existing in mortals as to her cosmic function (*Φιλότης*) amidst the elements themselves in their World-role; *μετὰ τοῖσιν* in v. 25 answers to *ἐν τοῖσιν* (v. 20) referring to *πῦρ καὶ ὕδωρ καὶ γαῖα καὶ ἥρος ἄπλετον ὑψος* (v. 18). In fact, the very expression *ἥρος ἄπλετον ὑψος* leaves no doubt as to the cosmic understanding of the elements in the passage. As does, more poignantly, the reference to all four roots in the masculine (*ἀκίνητοι* v. 13, not *ἀκίνητα*, despite the various misguided attempts at "correction"), clearly signifying their divine status as in B6.

The fragment is indeed a prime example of Empedocle's technique of revelation. Repetitions with him are used to consolidate doctrines, but also to further articulate them. (Cf. B24 and B25 for his conscious attitude in this respect). First (vv. 1-2) there is the universal pendulum between the One and the Many (*πλέονα*). Then (vv. 7-8), it is explained that the Many of that cosmic alternation is really the All (*ἄπαντα*; cf. *πάντων σύνοδος* v. 4), and that the principle and agency of global unification is Friendship while the one of separation is Strife. At the third reformulation (vv. 14-20) of the same truth we learn (*μάθη* v. 14), that at bottom the initial Many (*πλέονα*), the afterwards All (*ἄπαντα*), are the following four, which turn out to be the roots. Of the two principles, Friendship is in the elements and their mixtures being the agency by which elements and mixtures exist in any bulk at all. Strife on the other hand lies always outside any given volume of an element,

or any cohesive mixture, being their delimiting factor: it lies at the superficies of entities, it is the spirit of closure and borders. Finally, in the last part of the passage (vv. 27-35), the explanation is given why the six are the only true beings, the only true factors in World-history, and how in principle they produce, or rather they become (*γίγνεται* v. 35), all cosmic variation under the general law of cyclicity (cf. also vv. 9-13).

Now what is the abstract form and rhythm of that cosmic cyclicity? That is precisely the content of the double truth enunciated in B17 (*διπλ’ ἐρέω* v. 1 and v. 16). Empedocles is not using words haphazardly. Our construal must satisfy the conditions of some crucial and essential duplicity. It turns out that the duplicity involved is twofold. *First*, there are the twin movements of consolidation towards a Universal One and of dispersion from it (vv. 1-2). *Secondly*, there is a double becoming of mortal things (*θνητῶν*) and a double extinction of them (v. 3). Mortal things, the immediate and apparent subjects of these processes of becoming and perishing, are all mixed individual things past, present and future in the World. For we know that the Six real beings are eternal and unalterable, the roots and the principles. Empedocle's statement in B35.14:

αὖψα δὲ θνήτ’ ἐφύοντο, τὰ πρὸν μάθον ἀθάνατ’ εἶναι,

should not confuse us into assuming a blurred or inconsistent division between mortal and immortal entities. For the division is absolute, and is dexterously highlighted by Empedocles in the very next line:

ζωρά τε τὰ πρὸν ἄκρητα etc.

Things unmixed (i.e. the roots and principles) are eternal; things mixed are mortal. B35 describes the World's state just after the absolute segregation of the unmixed elements into their own. At the point of the total sovereignty of Strife, the elements were aware (*μάθον*) of their full eternity, being each on its own. Subsequently, they started to enter into mortal combinations and in this sense were becoming mortal (*ἐφύοντο*, something we know is only apparent; cf. B8; B9). One should be alert to this seeming inconsistency of things immortal becoming mortal by the very proclamation of the reverse transfiguration in the statement of Empedocles's newly achieved divinity in B112.4: *ἔγώ δ’ ὑμῖν θεὸς ἄμβροτος, οὐκέτι θνητός* etc. B35 furthermore makes evident what the θνητά in B17.3 are: namely composite things,

constituted by mixtures of the elements. It is emphatically reiterated that the wondrous variegation of cosmic existence stems from variations in the proportions of mixture of the four roots; B35.16-7:

*τῶν δέ τε μισγομένων χεῖτ' ἔθνεα μυρία θνητῶν,
παντοίας ἰδέησιν ἀρηρότα, θαῦμα ἰδέσθαι.*

But what exactly those second dualities (v. 3) in the becoming and perishing of mortal entities consist in? This has to be, and is obviously, explained in vv. 4-5. And it is crystal clear that whatever these dualities amount to, there are just two conditions to account for them, namely the twin movement of the world (as already posited in vv. 1-2) towards the Universal One (*πάντων σύνοδος* v. 4) and away from it (*δια-φνομένων* v. 5). Now the fact is that the ultimate cause of mortal things emerging into the world scene is the same one which effects their disappearing from it. (In physical terms it is the Cosmic Vortex, as explained in my paper). So that each of the two proclaimed general becomings of mortal things (*δοιὴ δὲ θνητῶν γένεσις*) corresponds to one of the two announced general perishings of them. One *γένεσις* is coupled to one *ἀπόλεψις*. And the other *γένεσις* to the other *ἀπόλεψις*. Therefore by explaining the two causes of a double becoming, an explanation of the double perishing of mortal things is *eo ipso* given. And thus of the two becomings *τὴν μέν* refers to the former, and *ἡ δέ* to the latter, *γένεσις*. References that are facilitated by the broader sense of *γένεσις* signifying things in the world of becoming. One condition gives birth and destroys one becoming (or things belonging to one system of (broader) becoming); the other becoming (or things belonging to the other system of (broader) becoming) is grown and dispersed by the other condition. But we have seen what these two conditions in the cosmic process are. The conclusion is therefore inescapable: one system of becoming (and perishing) is caused by the movement (consolidation) of the world to the Universal One; another is engendered by the reverse movement from (dispersion of) the One. And there thus exists a nice pattern of correspondences in B1-5: ABΓΑΒ, where A and B explain each part of Γ (*γένεσις* and *ἀπόλεψις*).

Despite the intentional dense intricacy of the formulation (with the obvious play on a duality doubled to become a quadruple-phased whole), the syntax of the phrasing is unambiguous. *Tὴν μέν* has a feminine reference, obviously one of the two generations mentioned in v. 3 (*δοιὴ... γένεσις*):

double is...; / one.../ the other... Similarly for $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta\acute{e}$. Theoretically the demonstratives could also be taken to refer to the double $\dot{\alpha}\pi\acute{o}\lambda\epsilon\psi\acute{s}$ (the other feminine around); but it comes to just the same effect. Or, they might be made to refer to one $\gamma\acute{e}n\epsilon\sigma\acute{s}$ and its corresponding $\dot{\alpha}\pi\acute{o}\lambda\epsilon\psi\acute{s}$ ($\tau\dot{\eta}\nu\mu\acute{e}n$ then it would be equivalent to $\tau\dot{\alpha}s\mu\acute{e}n$...); still with no change as to the doctrinal import of the passage. The meaning is so clear and fitting that one can try other, fatigued, construals only on extraneous reasons. Which then will be met on their own real ground.

There reigns, thus, a perfect harmony in the Empedoclean system. The process from Sphairos to Antisphairos during the phase of ascending Strife consists of two parts. In the first part the tendency of the elements to segregate, working under the superior, if continually diminishing, power of Love, creates increased differentiation-within-wholes and heightened organic complexity at both the cosmic and the individual level. After the turning point of a perfect balance between unifying and diversifying forces (at the equilibration of the respective potencies of Love and Strife), there comes the second part of this phase, with Strife now in prevailing, and increasing, force: organic complexity and internal differentiation are now gradually reduced resulting in simpler forms of existence, till the stage is reached of the absolute segregation of the ultimate realities in their *ineradicable* otherness.

In converse homology stands the ensuing process from Antisphairos to Sphairos. During its first part the tendency towards unification now, under conditions of prevailing (but decreasing) Strife influence, creates increased differentiation-within-wholes and heightened organic complexity. After the midpoint where Love and Strife stand in strict equipoise, the optimal balance between unification and diversification is disrupted, and existence starts to assume continually simpler structures with an on-going reduction in organic complexity, till all reality is integrated in the perfect fusion of the Sphairos. “Perfect”, so far, that is, as the nature of reality permits. *For the existence of ineradicable differences is precisely the seed of the dissolution of the Perfect God.*

- [2] That the present condition of the World lies in the phase of Ascending *Nēikos* is explicitly testified by Aristotle; *de generatione et corruptione*, B7.334a5 sqq.: $\acute{a}ma\ \delta\acute{e}\ kai\ \tau\dot{\eta}\nu\ k\acute{o}sm\acute{o}n\ \acute{o}moi\acute{w}s\ \acute{e}x\acute{e}i\ f\acute{\eta}\sacute{o}n$ (sc. Empedocles) $\acute{e}p\acute{l}\ t\acute{e}\ t\acute{o}\v{u}\ N\acute{e}ik\acute{o}v\nu\ kai\ p\acute{r}\o\te\p{o}v\ \acute{e}p\acute{l}\ t\acute{e}\ \acute{\Phi}\i l\acute{\i}as$. That the World now fast approaches the state of Hate's full mastery is indicated by the enormous acceleration of the cosmic gyration (A75: at an earlier stage of the phase,

nearer to the beginning, the world was revolving very slowly, taking ten contemporary months to effect its diurnal rotation). To the proximity of Hate's absolute sway is probably referring d8 (Martin-Primavesi):

[ἔξικ]νούμε[θα γὰ]ρ πολυβενθ[έα πείρατ']], δέω.

(I propose *πείρατ'* instead of the editors suggested *Δῖνον*). When the dynamic focus of the Cosmic Whirl reaches the deep limits of the World, it reverses direction, the Vortex starts to decelerate, and the process of mixing up the segregated elements starts anew. That boundary condition is the moment of Hate's total supremacy.

- [3] Aristotle, *de generatione et corruptione*, 334a1 sqq. (A42): ἄμα δὲ καὶ τὸν κόσμον ὁμοίως ἔχειν φησιν ἐπί τε τοῦ Νείκους νῦν καὶ πρότερον ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλίας. Ἐπὶ τοῦ Νείκους = in the phase of ascending Strife; ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλίας = in the phase of ascending Love. Obviously, the state of the World when Love reigns supreme is *completely* different from its state when Strife is absolute sovereign. Sphairos (the total fusion of all elements in one whole) and Anti-sphairos (the total separation of the elements from one another, and their segregate coacervation in themselves) are, literally, *worlds* apart: in between those two extreme conditions of existence lies the cosmos, typically more or less as we know it excepting its states near the limiting conditions.
- [4] Here lies the source of the curious Platonic myth of Cosmic Reversal in *Politikos*, 268d-275a. The model is different, but there are common themes and a shared general logic. The oscillation in the Platonic myth is between a state of perfect *order* (when the world has grown harmonious under direct divine tutelage) and the condition of total *chaos* (v. esp. 273a-e) inherent in the material (= spatial) substratum of existence. Naturally, the myth is built upon the doctrine of Timaeus as to the constitution of the world, but imports upon it a dynamic aspect of cyclicity. And this must be Empedoclean. Which also explains how Plutarch could construe the Reign of *Νεῖκος* (in its absolute sway) as the Platonic Realm of Chaos. V. *De facie in orbe lunae*, 926D-927A: the Empedoclean segregation of the elements into separate totalities is identified with the Platonic condition of the absence of God. Cf. ibid.: οὐ γῆ θερμότητος μετεῖχεν, οὐχ ὕδωρ πνεύματος, οὐκ ἄνω τι τῶν βαρέων, οὐ κάτω τι τῶν κούφων, ἀλλ' ἄκρατοι καὶ ἄστοργοι καὶ μονάδες αἱ τῶν ὅλων ἀρχαί (sc. τὰ ριζώματα), μὴ προσιέμεναι σύγκρισιν

έτέρου πρὸς ἔτερον μηδὲ κοινωνίαν, ἀλλὰ φεύγονται καὶ ἀποστρεφόμεναι καὶ φερόμεναι φορὰς ιδίας καὶ αἰθάδεις οὔτως εἶχον, ὡς ἔχει πᾶν οὐθὲὸς ἄπεστι κατὰ Πλάτωνα, τουτέστιν, ὡς ἔχει τὰ σώματα νοῦ καὶ ψυχῆς ἀπολιπούσης etc. In the Platonic-Plutarchean interpretation, *Φιλία* is the divine Goodness and *Νεῖκος* the inherent *ἀναρμοστία* (*τὸ τῆς παλαιᾶς ἀναρμοστίας πάθος*, *Politikos* 273 c7-d1) of physical existence or an evil principle like the *κακὴ Ψυχή* of the Laws. Although Plato seems explicitly to criticise the Empedoclean Dualism of Principles in *Politikos* 269e7 sqq.: ἐκ πάντων δὴ τούτων τὸν κόσμον μήτε αὐτὸν χρὴ φάναι στρέφειν ἑαυτὸν ἀεί, μήτ’ αὖ ὅλον ἀεὶ ὑπὸ θεοῦ στρέφεσθαι διττὰς καὶ ἐναντίας περιαγωγάς, μήτ’ αὖ δύο τινὲς θεῶν φρονοῦντες ἑαυτοῖς ἐναντία στρέφειν αὐτόν, ἀλλ’ ὅπερ ἄρτι ἐρρήθη καὶ μόνον λοιπόν, τοτὲ μὲν ὑπὸ ἄλλης συμποδηγεῖσθαι θείας αἰτίας, τὸ ζῆν πάλιν ἐπικτώμενον καὶ λαμβάνοντα ἀθανασίαν ἐπισκευαστὴν παρὰ τοῦ δημιουργοῦ, τοτὲ δ’ ὅταν ἀνεθῇ, δι’ ἑαυτοῦ αὐτὸν ἵέναι, κατὰ καιρὸν ἀφεθέντα τοιοῦτον, ὥστε ἀνάπαλιν πορεύεσθαι πολλὰς περιόδων μυριάδας διὰ δὴ τὸ μέγιστον ὃν καὶ ἵσορροπώτατον ἐπὶ μικροτάτου βαῖνον ποδὸς ἵέναι.

In the *Politikos* model there is a reversal of the heavenly revolution at the point of the renewed divine intervention. But we have nothing to support a corresponding trait in the movement away from the *Antisphairos* in the Empedoclean Cycle.

The Saturnian Era (*Κρόνιος βίος*) and terrogeniture are ascribed by Plato to the Phase of the Cosmic Cycle when the God directly takes care of physical existence (*Politikos*, 271c-272c). At that time, there obtains a complete reversal of human (and animal) life, with organic time going as it were backwards to match the reverse celestial circumvolution (*Politikos*, 270d-271b). This is to apply the reversion in World processes according to Empedocles upon the succession of the two phases of the Cosmic Cycle (as above explained, n. [1]), in a very specific, and picturesque, perhaps powerful, image.

It all, however, appears to fit if Plato would interpret (or rather utilize) Empedocles' Cycle as a twin-phased one, with the two phases corresponding to the Reign of *Φιλία* (= Rule of divine Goodness) and the Reign of *Νεῖκος* (= World's Self-Rule). This would mean that there are not periods of Ascending Love or Strife, only just periods of Love or Strife.

Such a construal or employment of the Empedoclean system, although, I believe, wrong, tells in favour of a unique Empedoclean poem. For it gains apparent support from the fact that in his Prooemium = *Καθαρμοί*

Empedocles describes the Saturnian life as belonging to the single and absolute ladyship of Aphrodite = *Φιλία* (B128). Porphyry in fact identifies *Κύπρις βασίλεια* there (B128.3) explicitly with *Φιλία* (*de abstinentia* II 21). And he associates the passage (evidently from the *Kaθαρμοί*) as coming from Empedocles's theogony, i.e. his sacred, physical *λόγος*. In Empedocles on the contrary, B128 refers to the state of the World *near* the condition of Sphairos, with *Φιλία* still most powerful, if on the wane, and with Strife's force ascending, although still slight: yet enough to have caused the disruption of Sphairo's perfect harmony and the creation of multiple individual existence. However, even so, Empedocles could have employed, *mythologically* speaking, the Saturnian life as an *image* of divine perfection in Sphairos; as a symbol of Dionysus undivided.

The above mentioned Platonic model survived as an Empedoclean interpretation in the Neoplatonic world-view with Sphairos = the Intelligible World and physical World as the world of the rule of *Νεῦκος*. This, certainly, is not what Empedocles meant, or could have meant.

- [5] Aristotle, *de caelo*, Γ2.301a14 sqq.: ἐκ διεστώτων δὲ καὶ κινουμένων οὐκ εὖλογον ποιεῖν τὴν γένεσιν. διὸ καὶ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς παραλείπει τὴν ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος· οὐ γάρ ἂν ἡδύνατο συστῆσαι τὸν οὐρανὸν ἐκ κεχωρισμένων μὲν κατασκευάζων, σύγκρισιν δὲ ποιῶν διὰ τὴν Φιλότητα· ἐκ διακεκριμένων γάρ συνέστηκεν ὁ κόσμος τῶν στοιχείων. ὥστ' ἀναγκαῖον γίνεσθαι ἐξ ἑνὸς καὶ συγκεκριμένου. The *ἐν καὶ συγκεκριμένον* is the *Σφαῖρος*. Formulae like *ἐπὶ Φιλότητος* mean ascending role, not absolute supremacy. The significance of Aristotle's addition *καὶ κινουμένων* is made clear by the account in my paper of the mechanics of the cosmic cycle: the moment of Hates total sovereignty is characterised by maximal speed in the Worlds revolution.

- [6] The grand upheaval that followed the state of Antisphairos (i.e. Strife's total sway) is described in B35. Plutarch refers to it as "the Universal Change", ὁ καθόλου μεταβολή. *Quaestionum Convivialium* V, 4, 677D: ...Ἐμπεδοκλέους... εἰρηκότος ἐν τῇ καθόλου μεταβολῇ γίγνεσθαι “ζωρά τε τὰ πρὶν ἄκρητα” (B35.15). The physical details of what happened are explained in my paper above. Even in antiquity (!) some seem to have been misled because of B35.4-5 to question Empedocle's consistency. At least Simplicius methodically answers to some such misunderstanding that there cannot be produced a spectacular variegation of mortal things precisely under the rule

of Friendship when all things become one. No less interpreter than Alexander provided the basis for such misunderstanding by construing B35.5 (*ἐν τῇ δὴ τάδε πάντα συνέρχεται ἐν μόνον εἶναι*) and Aristotle's reference to the state of affairs described in that fragment as *ἐπὶ τῆς φιλότητος* (*de caelo*, 300b30), as signifying the condition of Loves absolute sway. To which Simplicius gives the correct answer that this is the period of *ascending* Friendship, clearly defined by Empedocles himself in B35.10-3. Simplicius, *In de caelo*, 587.8 sqq.: *καὶ πῶς ταῦτα, φαίη ἄν τις, ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος γίνεσθαι λέγει ὁ Ἀριστοτέλης, δι’ ἣν πάντα ἐν γίνεσθαι ὁ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς φησιν* (B35.5):

ἐν τῇ δὴ τάδε πάντα συνέρχεται ἐν μόνον εἶναι;

μήποτε οὖν οὐκ ἐν τῇ ἐπικρατείᾳ τῆς Φιλίας ταῦτα λέγει γενέσθαι ὁ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς, ὡς ἐνόμισεν Ἀλέξανδρος, ἀλλὰ τότε, ὅτε οὕπω τὸ Νεῖκος (B35.10-3):

πᾶν ἔξεστηκεν ἐπ’ ἔσχατα τέρματα κύκλου,
ἀλλὰ τὰ μέν τ’ ἐνέμιμνε μελέων τὰ δέ τ’ ἔξεβεβήκει.
ὅσσον δ’ αἰὲν ὑπεκπροθέοι, τόσον αἰὲν ἐπήει
ἡπιόφρων Φιλότητος ἀμεμφέος ἄμβροτος ὄρμη.

Obviously B17.5 describes the final condition of Sphairos, towards which the processes started with the Grand Change following the polar state of Antisphairos lead under the increasing influence of Love (phase of ascending Love). And so, consequently, must be interpreted a(ii) 18-20. Hence, a(ii)21 sqq. refers to the results of the Grand Change; and the remains (*λοιπά*) of that creation in a(ii)25 signify tokens *now* (in the phase of ascending Strife) that testify to the production modes obtaining then (in the phase beginning with the dissolution of Antisphairos).

The creation-pattern in the phase of ascending Love is beyond doubt. The elements (starting to move through one another after the collapse of their total segregation in Antisphairos) mix up in various ways producing separate members without organic integration in whole-forms (forms of wholeness). Thus, conclusively, Aristotle, *de caelo* 300b26 sqq.: *πότερον [δυνατὸν ἥ] οὐχ οὖν τ’ ἦν κινούμενα ἀτάκτως καὶ μίγνυσθαι τοιαύτας μίξεις ἔνια, ἐξ ὧν συνίσταται τὰ κατὰ φύσιν συνιστάμενα σώματα, λέγω δ’ οἷον ὄστα καὶ σάρκας, καθάπερ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς φησὶ γίνεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος. λέγει γὰρ ὡς*

πολλαὶ μὲν κόρσαι ἀναύχενες ἐβλάστησαν.

The best commentary on the passage is supplied by Simplicius, who (after the above given quotation) goes on: ἐν ταύτῃ οὖν τῇ καταστάσει (sc. of retreating Strife and ascending Love) μονομελῆ ἔτι τὰ γυῖα ἀπὸ τῆς του Νείκους διακρίσεως ὅντα ἐπλανᾶτο, τῆς πρὸ ἄλληλα μίξεως ἐφιέμενα.

αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ (φησί) κατὰ μεῖζον ἐμίσγετο δαίμονι δαίμων (B59.1),
ὅτε τοῦ Νείκους ἐπεκράτει λοιπὸν ἡ Φιλότης,
ταῦτα τε συμπίπτεσκον ὅπῃ συνέκυρσεν ἔκαστα,
ἄλλα τε πρὸς τοῖς πολλὰ διηνεκὲς ἐξεγένοντο (B59.2-3).

ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος οὖν ὁ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς εἶπεν, οὐχ ὡς ἐπικρατούσης ἥδη τῆς Φιλότητος, ἀλλ' ὡς μελλούσης ἐπικρατεῖν, ἔτι δὲ τὰ ἄμικτα καὶ μονόγυμα δηλούσης.

The crux of the problem, and the key to its solution, are well set out by Simplicius. It concerns Empedocle's meaning in B35.5 and Aristotle's rendering of it “ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος”. Here is the issue: τὸ δὲ “καθάπερ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς φησι γίγνεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος” ὁ μὲν Ἀλέξανδρος ὡς μίξεως παράδειγμα ἀκούει, ἐξ ἣς συνίσταται τὰ κατὰ φύσιν σώματα· καὶ συναίρεσθαι δοκεῖ τῷ λόγῳ αὐτοῦ τὸ ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος τοῦτο λέγεσθαι, μίξεως αἰτίας οὕσης, ὡσπερ τοῦ Νείκους διακρίσεως. πῶς δὲ ἂν εἴη μίξεως σημαντικὸν τὸ “ἀναύχενες κόρσαι” καὶ τάλλα τὰ ὑπὸ τοῦ Ἐμπεδοκλέους λεγόμενα ἐν τούτοις (B57.2-3):

γυμνοὶ δ' ἐπλάζοντο βραχίονες εὔνιδες ὕμων,
ὅμματά τ' οἱ(α) ἐπλανᾶτο πενητεύοντα μετάπτων,

καὶ πολλὰ ἄλλα, ἀπερ οὐκ ἔστι μίξεως παραδείγματα, ἐξ ἣς τὰ κατὰ φύσιν συνίσταται; And the answer is simple, once one properly understands the position in the Cosmic Cycle which we are investigating. *The separate members show enough of Love's work as to represent mixtures of the elements; but the influence of Strife is strong and it forbids the formation of higher order integrals with more varied articulation and intenser organic unity capable of securing significant degrees of self-presentation and self-perpetuation to the entities produced.*

So the pattern of Loves zoogony is settled. Cf. also Simplicius in Phys. 37.33 sqq.: ὡσπερ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς κατὰ τὴν τῆς Φιλίας ἀρχὴν φησι γενέσθαι ὡς

ἔτυχε μέρη πρῶτον τῶν ζώων οίον κεφαλὰς καὶ χεῖρας καὶ πόδας, ἔπειτα συνιέναι ταῦτα

βουγενῆ ἀνδρόπρωρα, τὰ δ' ἔμπαλιν ἔξανατέλλειν (B61.2).

...καὶ ὅσα μὲν οὕτω συνέστη ἀλλήλοις ὥστε δύνασθαι τυχεῖν σωτηρίας, ἐγένετο ζῷα καὶ ἔμεινεν διὰ τὸ ἀλλήλοις ἐκπληροῦν τὴν χρείαν, τοὺς μὲν ὀδόντας τέμνοντάς τε καὶ λεαίνοντάς τὴν τροφήν, τὴν δὲ γαστέρα πέττουσαν, τὸ δὲ ἡπαρ ἔξαμπατοῦν. etc. The elements mixing *first* produce the simpler compounds (members), which *then* combining create more complex forms. These survive if the combination is such that the *joining members offer mutual help in meeting the needs of one another* (*διὰ τὸ ἀλλήλοις ἐκπληροῦν τὴν χρείαν*). The initial mixtures were probably coming up from the earth (*ἔξανατέλλειν*, cf. *χθονὸς ἔξανέτελλον* B62.4). So Censorinus, *de die natali*, 4, 7-8: Empedocles autem egregio suo carmine, ..., tale quiddam confirmat: primo membra singula ex terra quasi praegnate passim edita, deinde coisse et effecisse solidi hominis materiam igni simul et umori permixtam. cetera quid necesse est perseQUI, quae non capiant similitudinem veritatis (he refers to Empedocle's *τερατογενέσεις*).

The law of development in Loves zoogony is thus expressed in this series: *simpler mixtures → producing single parts and members → chance combinations of them → disappearance of monstrous formations → survival of the fit compounds → existence of more complex organisms capable of sustaining and reproducing themselves*. The tendency is towards *more complex articulation and higher integration simultaneously*. The first characteristic comes from the fact that the elements get more and more mixed up as they move from the state of absolute segregation (Antisphairos) to that of total unification (Sphairos). The second is caused by the ascending power of Love. But this tendency, as was explained above, works up in the first part of the phase of ascending Love. There follows a second part when the influence of Love prevails to such a degree that things start getting fused as they are prepared for their final dissolution into the Sphairos. Now *increased unification* must go with *less internal differentiation* at the cosmic level as a whole and in each existent within it. Distinction of parts and members start to coalesce into “whole-natured forms”, *οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι*. This process becomes more and more pronounced, till we reach the complete interpenetration of the elements in the otherwise undifferentiated Sphairos. The law of development in the phase of ascending Love (comprising both its parts) gives therefore the following sequence:

- (a) μουνομελῆ γυῖα (B58) -
- (b) combinations (B57, 79, 60, 61) -
- (c) οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι (B62)

The appearance of sex belongs in this phase to (a) as isolated members and to (b) in organic compounds. In (c) there is no sharp, well-defined differentiation of members, including the vocal parts and the sex organs, as is explicitly stated in B62.7-8:

οὕτε τί πῶ μελέων ἐρατὸν δέμας ἐμφαίνοντας
οὕτ' ἐνοπὴν οἶόν τ' ἐπιχώριον ἀνδράσι γυνον.

We may envisage a gradual blurring of distinctions and divisions in the perfectly developed organisms leading to more and more coalescing integrals (*οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι*). (a), (b) and (c) clearly characterise the beginning, the middle and the final period of the phase of ascending Love from Antisphairos to Sphairos.

But B62 *cannot* refer to the concluding part of this phase in the Cosmic Cycle. For in the fragment the *οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι* come *before* (*πρῶτα*) the full differentiation of sexes, not after it. This is also observed by Simplicius, *in Phys.* 381.29 sqq.: εἰπόντος δὲ τοῦ Ἐμπεδοκλέους ἐν τῷ δευτέρῳ τῶν Φυσικῶν πρὸ τῆς τῶν ἀνδρείων καὶ γυναικείων σωμάτων διαρθρώσεως ταυτὶ τὰ ἔπη etc.

Now the developments in the two cosmic phases are the *reverse* one to the other. This has been explained above with reference to the cosmic processes; but it also necessarily applies to the organization of life in each world period. The law of development during the phase of ascending Strife (in the movement from Sphairos to Antisphairos now) postulates therefore a zoogonic sequence on the pattern:

(c) - (b) - (a).

Things get started with the formation of *οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι* of high unification and homogeneity under the sway of still overwhelmingly powerful Love. More and more differentiation is introduced, more and more variegation is caused by the unremitting acceleration of the universal circumvolution as Hate becomes stronger and stronger. At some point a harmonious balance is achieved between differentiation and unification. After that the segregating influence of Hate takes the upper hand and existences begin to be rent apart.

The dissolution of created entities continues constantly intensifying, till the uncreated divine elements are coacerbated in totally separate places, in the absolute self-satisfaction of isolation (Antisphairos).

B62, 63 refer to the sequence (c) - (b) in the phase of ascending Strife. They belong to Strifes zoogony. There is also an eloquent reminder of the obtaining situation in B62.6: *τοὺς (sc. οὐλοφυεῖς τύπους) μὲν πῦρ ἀνέπεμπε θέλον πρὸς ὄμοιον ἵκεσθαι*. Fire and earth (and water; v. B62.5: ἀμφοτέρων ὕδατός τε καὶ εἴδεος (= fire) *ἀλσαν ἔχοντες*) coexist: it is the tendency of fire to get out of (and separated from) the earth, and to reach its own (*θέλον πρὸς ὄμοιον ἵκεσθαι*), which creates the *οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι*. (Since the fire comes up into the air in this “desire” of it, air is also mixed in the resulting compounds of the other three elements; not to mention that air will also exist in considerable quantity at this stage within earth as well). So this is taking place during the process of differentiation of the all inclusive universal unity, the Sphairos.

Aristotle seems to provide in this connection a striking illustration of how far we may misunderstand his meaning if we fail to provide appropriate framework to his dense and hypopnematic argumentation. In *Physica* B, 7, having before explained the doctrine of the quadruple causality, he explains natural teleology. Empedocle's comes naturally up for criticism. Aristotle takes exception to the idea of an “as-if” teleology activating natural processes; he considers, rightly, Empedocle's as a prominent exponent of that theory. He criticises the (a)-(b) zoogonical sequence. Among other things, he argues in the main, that a monstrosity presupposes a normality which can be corrupted in its first principle in order to result to the monstrosity in question. It is not what is regularly happening (unless impeded) that can be explained by a chance adaptation that proved successful, but on the contrary chance combinations are needed to explain miscarriages in the more or less steady workings of nature. The natural is normal, and requires an altogether different kind of explanation than chance arrangements, namely a teleological perspective, since *ἡ φύσις τῶν ἔνεκά του αἰτίων (ἐστι)*, 198b10-11.

In this context he comes back to Empedocle's (a)-(b) sequence. 199b1 sqq.: *εἰ δὴ ἔστιν ἔνια κατὰ τέχνην ἐν οἷς τὸ ὄρθως ἔνεκά του, ἐν δὲ τοῖς ἀμαρτηνομένοις ἔνεκα μέν τινος ἐπιχειρεῖται ἀλλ’ ἀποτυγχάνεται, ὄμοιώς ἂν ἔχει καὶ ἐν τοῖς φυσικοῖς, καὶ τὰ τέρατα ἀμαρτήματα ἐκείνου τοῦ ἔνεκά του. καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἄρα συστάσει τὰ βουγενῆ* (i.e. we find ourselves now in the combinations resulting from chance mixtures of members at the first

part of Love's zoogony according to Empedocle's), εἰ μὴ πρός τινα ὄρον καὶ τέλος δυνατὰ ἦν ἐλθεῖν, διαφθειρομένης ἀν ἀρχῆς τινος ἐγίγνετο (as Aristotle maintains, and against Empedocle's who would not recognize any prior distinct principle whose failure would produce the monstrosities), ὥσπερ νῦν τοῦ σπέρματος. The *νῦν* contrasts to ἐξ ἀρχῆς. The Aristotelian point is that just as now (i.e. in this order of things obtaining now) genetic malformations or malfunctions are due to some flaw in the seed or semen (which is one kind of principle of organic life) - so at the beginning of the Empedoclean world-period (where the monstrosities appeared first) there should obtain a principle of normality whose corruption would *occasionally* lead to miscarriages of the normal, and normative, processes of nature.

As a side-thought to this last turn in his argument, Aristotle remarks, 199b7-9: ἔτι ἀνάγκη σπέρμα γενέσθαι πρῶτον, ἀλλὰ μὴ εὐθὺς τὰ ζῷα· καὶ τὸ “οὐλοφυὲς μὲν πρῶτα” σπέρμα ἦν. He merely adds (still against the (a)-(b) Empedoclean sequence of Love's zoogony), that there could not in his view be animals before their semen; as Empedocle's seems to acknowledge by putting his οὐλοφυὲς τύποι first; which first οὐλοφυὲς τύποι are according to Aristotle semen. But this οὐλοφυὲς is clearly imported here from a different Empedoclean context: Aristotle has “σπέρμα ἦν”, not “σπέρμα ἐστιν”. Far from undermining Empedocle's double zoogony, Aristotle indirectly here confirms it. There are two zoogonical sequences: one starting with single members and monstrosities simple and compound; the *other* beginning with οὐλοφυὲς τύποι. And more importantly, Aristotle proves that the οὐλοφυὲς τύποι are not stable organic compositions as against the instable concoctions of members, but more or less internally undifferentiated formations. On the other hand, the equation οὐλοφυὲς = σπέρμα is Aristotle's own construal and projection. The Empedoclean οὐλοφυὲς τύποι must have been gigantic. Smaller and smaller entities are effected as the history of the Universe proceeds in the now obtaining phase, this diminution in size resulting from the increasing velocity of global circumvolution, itself the physical manifestation of the continuously increasing dispersive power of Strife. A confirming testimony to this deduction is provided doxographically; A77 = Plutarchean, *Epitoma*, V 27, 1 (DG p. 440.8-10): τοὺς δὲ νῦν ἀνθρώπους τοῖς πρώτοις συμβαλλομένους βρεφῶν ἐπέχειν τάξιν.

B64 also seems to corroborate the same conclusions, at least according to its more natural and direct interpretation. The reminiscence that generates sexual desire correlates nicely to the Platonic doctrine in *Symposium* 191A: it is a recollection of a former unity that drives the two sexes together. Thus an

οὐλοφυῆς τύπος (without characteristic sex, as in B62.11) should be presupposed working in the excitement of sexual love. It cannot be a remembrance of monstrosities (separate members or misfits) that arouses it. In the cosmic phase we are living in, zoogony started with undifferentiated wholes, not with differentiated parts.

There remains to account for the troublemaking doxographical piece A72: *'Εμπεδοκλῆς τὰς πρώτας* (1) γενέσεις τῶν ζάρων καὶ φυτῶν μηδαμῶς δόλοκλήρους γενέσθαι, ἀσυμφυέσι δὲ τοῖς μορίοις διεζευγμένας, τὰς δὲ δευτέρας (2) συμφυομένων τῶν μερῶν εἰδωλοφανεῖς, τὰς δὲ τρίτας (3) τῶν δόλοφυῶν, τὰς δὲ τετάρτας (4) οὐκέτι ἐκ τῶν όμοίων οἶνον ἐκ γῆς καὶ νῦδατος, ἀλλὰ δι’ ἀλλήλων ἥδη etc. The first generation is that of single members, not whole organisms. The second mentioned is one of (chance) coallocations of members resulting in entities like the mixed beings of mythology (idol-like). The third is that of *οὐλοφυεῖς* and the fourth is the one endowed with the power of procreation. There seems to be implied an increasing level of unity and articulation; the *οὐλοφυεῖς* look like meant to be stable organic compositions as distinct from the idol-like compilations of category 2. If so, category 1 corresponds to my (a) above, while categories 2, 3 and 4 to (b), indicating internal subdivisions within it. But it is more likely, that this doxographical piece represents a (compilers) misunderstanding of the sources. If we construe in an Empedoclean way *οὐλοφυεῖς* and if we, consequently, reverse the order of categories 3 and 4 (since differentiation of sex precedes the decrease of differentiation characterising the *οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι* in the world-period of ascending Love), then we obtain this broad correspondence:

- 1 → (a)
- 2, 4 → (b)
- 3 → (c)

Which, we saw, is the pattern of development during the phase of ascending Love. The reverse is the one obtaining during the phase of ascending Strife. To cut through the doxographical piece by assembling 1 and 2 in the former phase and 3 and 4 in the latter is mistaken on many counts that have been observed by a number of scholars. It should be remarked how more accurate, so far as it goes, Censorinus' report is (*de die natali*, 4, 7-8, a passage above quoted). It is misleading to put the two testimonies side by side as in Diels, *Doxographi Graeci*, p. 189. Censorinus preserves the uncorrupted or unconfused doxographical tradition, as against the Plutarchean *Epitoma*. Relative material is completely missing from Stobaeus. On a more general

plane, this is one more instance of how precarious the reconstruction of an “Aetius” is. One final terminological point.

At the starting dissolution of Antisphairos, the power of Love is small in extension; while it is very great at the beginning of Sphairos’ disintegration. In this sense talk of ascending Love, respectively Strife, at those periods seems bizarre and may be misleading. But their powers are correspondingly steadfastly rising. And the dynamics is the substance of the matter. The process of the dissolution of Antisphairos is, once started, ineluctable; and the operative principle of the dissolution is Love. Similarly in the opposite case. So talk even of the Era of Love / Strife (*ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος / ἐπὶ τοῦ Νεῖκους*) is in this way justified. And it seems to be countenanced by Empedocle’s himself; as when in describing the developments activated by the end of Antisphairos he emphasises their end result, namely the state of Sphairos (cf. b35.5; a(ii)20).

- [7] It is worth mentioning that recent developments in scientific cosmology and astrophysics betray uncanny analogies to cardinal Empedoclean ideas. In contemporary theoretical physics, weird, apparently, speculations are tested experimentally and supported by evidence drawn from soundings into the World’s past.

One such examination project is the following. An American satellite called the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) has provided more precise answers to a number of questions relating to the structure of the Universe and to the Beginning and End of Time (Reports in *The Economist* February 15th, 2003 and in *The New York Times*, February 28, 2004). The WMAP scans the Universe measuring small variations in the cosmic microwave background, i.e. cool radiation created 380.000 years after the Big Bang. The angular resolution and temperature sensitivity in its scanning seem sufficient to establish certain startling results.

The Universe looks like being composed of three substances. One, a mere 4.4% of it, consists in “ordinary” matter (the stuff of atoms). Another approximately 23% of the Universe is made of “cold dark matter” (nature unknown). The third and by far greatest part, up to about 73%, is the mysterious “dark energy”.

Little is known about these larger components of the Worlds constitution. But dark energy acts as a *repulsive force*, driving the rest asunder and tearing things apart. Dark energy is thus the polar force to gravitation, a *Nēîkos* against cosmic *Φιλία*.

In fact a theory as to the Big Bang seems also to have been confirmed by the WMAP. “*Inflation*”, which is set to have caused and sustained the beginning and earliest development of the Universe, appears to be some kind of brief and violent antigravitational force, thus a manifestation of repelling, dispersing “dark energy” or *Nēikos*, when, at the beginning of time, it resumed its unassailable rights.

Finally, there is now envisaged the possibility that a Big Rip at the End of Time will answer the Big Bang at its beginning. If the dark energy is strong enough, the Universe may in the end be *completely* pulled apart. First the universal expansion is *speeded up*, then galaxies spin apart, then stars and planetary systems, then planets explode, then atoms are rent asunder, finally protons and neutrons rip apart. At the end only the ultimate constituents of matter remain, probably electrons and quarks, totally incommunicable to each other, in awesome isolation.

It is tempting to correlate this state of the Universe to the Empedoclean Antisphairos. And by parity of speculation, the state of the World before the Big Bang to the Sphairos, a totally unified, homogeneous, dense condition of matter. So we have matter and its ultimate elements (the four Empedoclean roots or electrons and quarks nowadays), two forces one of friendly attraction (Gravitation - *Φιλία*) and one of hateful repulsion (Dark Energy - *Nēikos*), a pre-Big-Bang Sphairos and a post-Big-Rip Antisphairos. We are now moving towards the Big Rip under the influence of Dark Energy (*Nēikos*). One only thing remains to complete this picture of general homologies. Are the cosmic processes as a whole cyclical? Is there a reverse movement from post-Big-Rip state to pre-Big-Bang state? Should Gravitation and Dark Energy be exactly equipoised the pendulum must start moving in the opposite direction once one of its polar, extreme positions has been reached. There would then be in rerum natura an Oath of Necessity between *Φιλία* and *Nēikos*.

The following text of the Empedoclean fragments is meant not as an edition, but as a working tool in illustration of the proposed unifying reconstruction of Empedocles' poem and system. However, a few textual changes have been made and a very selective apparatus added, together with occasional indicative explanations. *Comparationes numerorum* between this arrangement of the Fragments and Diels-Kranz's sequence are subjoined at the end.

ΕΜΠΕΔΟΚΛΕΟΥΣ
ΦΥΣΙΚΑ

BIBΛION A'

Destiny of Soul and Structure of Existence



a) *Προοίμιον (Καθαρμοί)*

Nos. 1-36

[Empedocle's condition. Truth of his Word]

1

B 112

ω̄ φίλοι, οἵ μέγα ἀστυ κατὰ ξανθοῦ Ἀκράγαντος
ναιετ' ἀν' ἄκρα πόλεος, ἀγαθῶν μελεδήμουνες ἔργων,
ξείνων αἰδοῖοι λιμένες, κακότητος ἄπειροι,
χαίρετ'. ἐγὼ δ' ὑμῖν θεὸς ἀμβροτος, οὐκέτι θυητός
πωλεῦμαι μετὰ πᾶσι τετιμένος, ὥσπερ ἔοικα,
ταινίαις τε περίστεπτος στέφεσίν τε θαλείοις.
τοῦσιν ἄμ' εὐτ' ἀν' ἵκωμαι ἐς ἀστεα τηλεθάντα,
ἀνδράσιν ηδὲ γυναιξὶ σεβίζομαι· οἱ δ' ἄμ' ἔπονται
μυρίοι ἐξερέοντες, ὅπηι πρὸς κέρδος ἀταρπός,
οἱ μὲν μαντοσυνέων κεχρημένοι, οἱ δ' ἐπὶ νούσων
παντοίων ἐπύθοντο κλύειν εὐηκέα βάξιν,
δηρὸν δὴ χαλεπῆσι πεπαρμένοι <ἀμφ' ὁδύνησιν>.

V. Diogenes Laertius VIII 62: ὅτι δ' ἦν Ἀκραγαντῖνος ἐκ Σικελίας αὐτὸς ἐναρχόμενος τῶν Καθαρμῶν φησιν “ω̄ φίλοι etc.”.

v. 3 κακότητος ἄπειροι: cf. B144: νηστεῦσαι κακότητος

v. 7 τοῦσιν sc. ταινίαις et στέφεσιν

ἄμ' εὐτ' ἀν Planudes, codd. Diogenis: ἄμ' ἀν

codd. Diogenis primarii: <δ'> εὐτ' ἀν Kranz

τοῦσιν ἄμ' εὐτ' ἀν: <πᾶσι δὲ> τοῖς ἀν Wilamowitz:

πᾶσι δ' ἄμ' εὐτ' ἀν Wright

* * *

2

Β 114

ω̄ φίλοι, οἶδα μὲν οῦνεκ’ ἀληθείη πάρα μύθοις,
οὖς ἐγὼ ἔξερέω· μάλα δ’ ἀργαλέη γε τέτυκται
ἀνδράσι καὶ δύσζηλος ἐπὶ φρένα πίστιος ὄρμή.

* * *

3

Β 113

ἀλλὰ τί τοῦσδ’ ἐπίκειμ’ ὡσεὶ μέγα χρῆμά τι πράσσων,
εἰ θνητῶν περίειμι πολυφθερέων ἀνθρώπων;

* * *

[State of Blessedness]

4

Β 128

οὐδέ τις ἦν κείνοισιν "Ἄρης θεὸς οὐδὲ Κυδοιμός
οὐδὲ Ζεὺς βασιλεὺς οὐδὲ Κρόνος οὐδὲ Ποσειδῶν,
ἀλλὰ Κύπρις βασίλεια.

τὴν οἵ γ’ εὐσεβέεσσιν ἀγάλμασιν ἵλασκοντο
γραπτοῖς τε ζώιοισι μύροισί τε δαιδαλεόδμοις
σμύρνης τ’ ἀκρήγου θυσίαις λιβάνου τε θυώδους,
ξανθῶν τε σπονδᾶς μελίτων ρίπτοντες ἐς οὐδας·
ταύρων δ’ ἀκρήγουισι φόνοις οὐ δεύετο βωμός,
ἀλλὰ μύσος τοῦτ’ ἔσκεν ἐν ἀνθρώποισι μέγιστον,
θυμὸν ἀπορραίσαντες ἐνέδμεναι ἡέα γυνῖα.

In περὶ φύσεως (θεογονία = κοσμογονία) teste Porphyrio,
de abstinentia II 21: ἀλλὰ καὶ παρ’ Ἐμπεδοκλέους, ὃς
περὶ τῆς θεογονίας διεξιὰν καὶ περὶ τῶν θυμάτων
παρεμφαίνει λέγων “οὐδέ etc.”

v. 8 ἀκρήγουισι Scaliger, DK: ἀκρήγουισι codd. Porphyrii:
ἀκράτουισι codd. Eusebii P.E.: ἀρρήγουισι Fabricius, Kranz

* * *

5

B 130 + 77 + 78

ἥσαν δὲ κτίλα πάντα καὶ ἀνθρώπους προσηνῆ,
θῆρες τ' οἰωνοί τε, φιλοφροσύνη τε δεδήει.

〈δένδρεα δ'〉 ἐμπεδόφυλλα καὶ ἐμπεδόκαρπα τέθηλεν
καρπῶν ἀφθονίησι κατ' ἡέρα πάντ' ἐνιαυτόν.

* * *

[Another case of blessed wisdom like Empedocles': Pythagoras]

6

B 129

ἥν δέ τις ἐν κείνοισιν ἀνὴρ περιώσια εἰδώς,
ὅς δὴ μήκιστον πραπίδων ἐκτήσατο πλοῦτον,
παντοίων τε μάλιστα σοφῶν <τ'> ἐπιγρανος ἔργων.
ὅππότε γὰρ πάσῃσιν ὁρέξαιτο πραπίδεσσιν,
ρεῖ δὲ γε τῶν ὄντων πάντων λεύσσεσκεν ἔκαστον
καὶ τε δέκ' ἀνθρώπων καὶ τ' εἴκοσιν αἰώνεσσιν.

* * *

[Divine Wisdom concerning Gods]

7

B 132

ὅλβιος, ὃς θείων πραπίδων ἐκτήσατο πλοῦτον,
δειλὸς δ', ὥι σκοτόεσσα θεῶν πέρι δόξα μέμηλεν.

v. 2: cf. B 131.4

Salvationis fundamentum gnosticum, i.e. scientia de rebus divinis naturalibusque.

* * *

[Necessity and the Law of Genesis]

8

B 115

ἐστιν Ἀνάγκης χρῆμα, θεῶν ψήφισμα παλαιόν,
 ἀδίον, πλατέεσσι κατεσφρηγισμένον ὄρκοις·
 εὗτέ τις ἀμπλακίησι φόνῳ φίλᾳ γυνᾷ μιήνῃ,
 «νείκει θ'» ὃς κ(ε) ἐπίορκον ἀμαρτήσας ἐπομόσσηι,
 δαίμονες οἵτε μακραίωνος λελάχασι βίοιο,
 τρίς μιν μυρίας ὥρας ἀπὸ μακάρων ἀλάλησθαι,
 φυομένους παντοῖα διὰ χρόνου εἴδεα θνητῶν
 ἀργαλέας βιότοι μεταλλάσσοντα κελεύθους.
 αἰθέριον μὲν γάρ σφε μένος πόντονδε διώκει,
 πόντος δ' ἐσ χθονὸς οὐδας ἀπέπτυσε, γαῖα δ' ἐσ αὐγὰς
 ἡλίου φαέθοντος, ὁ δ' αἰθέρος ἔμβαλε δίναις·
 ἄλλος δ' ἐξ ἄλλου δέχεται, στυγέουσι δὲ πάντες.
 τῶν καὶ ἐγὼ οὐν εἴμι, φυγὰς θεόθεν καὶ ἀλήτης,
 Νείκει μανομένωι πίσυνος.

V. Plutarchus, *de exilio*, 607c: ὁ δ' Ἐμπεδοκλῆς ἐν ἀρχῇ τῆς φιλοσοφίας προαναφωνήσας “ἐστιν... ἀλήτης” etc.

v. 5 Cf. θεοὶ δολιχαίωνες, B 21.12; 23.8

v. 14 Νείκει... πίσυνος: cf. Νείκεος ἐννεσίησιν, B 22.9

* * *

9

B 142

(according to O. Primavesi, *Die Haüser von Zeus und Hades: zu Text und Deutung von Empedocle's B 142 D.-K.*, in Cronache Ercolanesi 33/2003 p. 59)

τὸν δ' οὕτ' ἄρ τε Διὸς τέγεοι δόμοι αἰγ/[ιόχοιο]
 [οὔ]τε τ[ί π]η "Ἄδου δέ[χεται πυ]κι[νὸ]ν στέγος []δ[].

* * *

10

B 125

ἐκ μὲν γὰρ ζωῶν ἐτίθει νεκρὰ εἴδε' ἀμείβων,
 ἐκ δὲ νεκρῶν ζώοντα>.

* * *

11

B 126

(ἡ δαιμων τῆς γενέσεως sc. Aphrodite)
 σαρκῶν ἀλλογνῶτι περιστέλλουσα χιτῶνι.

* * *

12

B 148

(τὸ τῇ ψυχῇ περικείμενον σῶμα)
 ἀμφιβρότην χθόνα

* * *

13

B 153α

[τὸ γοῦν βρέφος δοκεῖ τελειοῦσθαι ἐν ἐπτὰ ἑβδομάσιν,
 ὡς Ἐμπεδοκλῆς αἰνίττεται ἐν τοῖς Καθαρμοῖς]

* * *

14

B 117

ἢδη γάρ ποτ' ἐγὼ γενόμην κοῦρος τε κόρη τε
 θάμνος τ' οἰωνός τε καὶ ἔξαλος ἐλλοπος ἵχθύς.

* * *

15

B 119

ἐξ οὖς τιμῆς τε καὶ ὕσσον μήκεος ὥλβου...

Cf. B 128; B 115.

* * *

16

B 120

ἡλύθομεν τόδ' ὑπὸ ἄντρον ὑπόστεγον...

Mundus significatur

* * *

17

B 116

(ἢ *Xάρης*)
στυγέει δύστλητον Ἀνάγκην

* * *

18

B 118

κλαῦσά τε καὶ κώκυσα ἰδὼν ἀσυνήθεα χῶρον.

* * *

19

B 154a

(πέποται ὁ τῆς συνηθείας κυκεών)
ῳδῖνάς <τέ> ὁδύνας <τε> κυκέων ἀπάτας τε γόους τε

* * *

20

B 121

..... ἀτερπέα χῶρον,
 ἐνθα Φόνος τε Κότος τε καὶ ἄλλων ἔθνεα Κηρῶν
 αὐχμηραι' τε Νόσοι καὶ Σήψιες ἔργα τε ρέυστά
 "Ατης ἀν λειμῶνα κατὰ σκότος ἡλάσκουσιν.

v. 4 ἡλάσκουσιν: cf. Homer B470

* * *

21

B 122

ἐνθ' Ἰσαν Χθονίη τε καὶ Ἡλιόπη ταναῶπις,
 Δῆρίς θ' αίματόεσσα καὶ Ἀρμονίη θεμερῶπις,
 Καλλιστώ τ' Αἰσχρή τε, Θόωσά τε Δηναίη τε,
 Νημερτής τ' ἐρόεσσα μελάγκουρός τ' Ἀσάφεια.

* * *

22

B 123

Φυσώ τε Φθιμένη τε, καὶ Εύναιη καὶ Ἔγερσις,
 Κινώ τ' Ἀστεμφής τε, πολυστέφανός τε Μεγιστώ
 καὶ Φορύη, Σωπή τε καὶ Ὁμφαίη...

* * *

23

B 124

ὦ πόποι, ὦ δειλὸν θνητῶν γένος, ὦ δυσάνολβον,
 τοίων ἔκ τ' ἐρίδων ἔκ τε στοναχῶν ἐγένεσθε.

* * *

24

B 136

οὐ παύσεσθε φόνοιο δυστηχέος; οὐκ ἐσορᾶτε
ἀλλήλους δάπτοντες ἀκηδείημισι νόοιο;

* * *

25

B 0 (apud B 136)

βωμὸν ἐρεύθοντας μακάρων θερμοῖσι φόνοισιν

* * *

26

B 138

χαλκῷ ἀπὸ ψυχὴν ἀρύσας

* * *

27

B 137

μορφὴν δ' ἀλλάξαντα πατὴρ φίλον νιὸν ἀείρας
σφάζει ἐπευχόμενος μέγα νήπιος· οἱ δ' ἀπορεῦνται
λισσόμενον θύοντες· ὁ δ' αὖτης τοσούτος ὄμοκλέων
σφάξας ἐν μεγάροισι κακὴν ἀλεγύνατο δαιτα.
ώς δ' αὔτως πατέρ' οὐδὲν ἐλῶν καὶ μητέρα παῖδες
θυμὸν ἀπορραιίσαντε φίλας κατὰ σάρκας ἔδουσιν.

* * *

28

B 145

τοιγάρτοι χαλεπήσιν ἀλύοντες κακότησιν
οὕποτε δειλαίων ἀχέων λωφήσετε θυμόν.

v. 2 cf. παρέσσε[παι ἄλγ]εα θυμῷ, d9 Martin-Primavesi.

* * *

29

B 139

οἵμοι ὅτι οὐ πρόσθεν με διώλεσε νηλεὲς ἥμαρ,
πρὶν σχέτλι’ ἔργα βορᾶς περὶ χείλεσι μητίσασθαι.

Cf. d 5-6 Martin-Primavesi

* * *

[Purification of polluted State of Soul]

30

B 135

οὐ πέλεται τοῖς μὲν θεμιτὸν τόδε, τοῖς δ’ ἀθέμιστον,
ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν πάντων νόμιμον διὰ τ’ εὐρυμέδοντος
αιθέρος ἡνεκέως τέταται διά τ’ ἀπλέτου αὐγῆς...

Ex Aristotelis *Arte Rhetorica* (A, 1373 b 14 sqq.) primum versum restituit Karstenius: οὐ πέλεται τοῖς μὲν δίκαιον τόδε, τοῖς δ’ ἀθέμιστον Sturzius.

v. 3 cf. B17.18 καὶ ἡέρος ἄπλετον ὕψος
cf. B22.2 ἡλέκτωρ τε χθών τε καὶ οὐρανὸς ἡδὲ θάλασσα

* * *

31

B 140

δάφνης φύλλων ἄπο πάμπαν ἔχεσθαι.

* * *

32

B 141

δειλοί, πάνδειλοι, κυάμων ἄπο χειρας ἔχεσθαι.

* * *

33

B 143

*κρηνάων ἄπο πέντε ταμόντ' <ἐν> ἀτειρέι χαλκῶι
χρὴ μὲν ἀπορρύπτεσθαι...*

* * *

34

B 144

...νηστεῦσαι κακότητος.

Cf. B112.3 κακότητος ἄπειροι.

* * *

35

B 127

*ἐν θήρεσσι λέοντες ὀρειλεχέες χαμαιεῦναι
γίγνονται, δάφναι δ' ἐνὶ δένδρεσιν ἡγκόμοισιν.*

* * *

[End of Purification: condition of Empedocle's in No. 1]

36

B 146 +147

*εἰς δὲ τέλος μάντεις τε καὶ ὑμνοπόλοι καὶ ἵητροί
καὶ πρόμοι ἀνθρώποισιν ἐπιχθονίοισι πέλονται,
ἐνθεν ἀναβλαστοῦσι θεοὶ τιμῆισι φέριστοι.*

*ἀθανάτοις ἄλλοισιν ὅμέστιοι, αὐτοτράπεζοι
ἔόντες, ἀνδρείων ἀχέων ἀπόκληροι, ἀτειρεῖς.*



b) General Theory of Reality Nos. 37-74

[Way of Truth]

37

Fr. 133

οὐκ ἔστιν πελάσασθαι ἐν ὀφθαλμοῖσιν ἐφικτόν
ἡμετέροις ἦ χερσὶ λαβεῖν, ἢπέρ γε μεγίστη
πειθοῦς ἀνθρώποισιν ἀμαξιτὸς εἰς φρένα πίπτει.

v. 2 ἢπέρ γε Karsten; ἢπερ τε DK: ἢπερ τε codd.

* * *

38

B 131

εὶ γὰρ ἐφημερίων ἔνεκέν τινος, ἅμβροτε οὖσα,
ἡμετέρας μελέτας <ἄδε τοι> διὰ φροντίδος ἐλθεῖν,
εὐχομένῳ νῦν αὖτε παρίστασο, Καλλιόπεια,
ἀμφὶ θεῶν μακάρων ἀγαθὸν λόγον ἐμφαίνοντι.

v. 4 cf. B 132.2

περὶ φύσεως ac ἀμφὶ θεῶν idem opus esse; cf. B128; B132

* * *

39

B 1

Πανσανίη, σὺ δὲ κλῦθι, δαιφρονος Ἀγχίτεω νιέ.

* * *

[Pragmatism of Knowledge]

40

B 111

φάρμακα δ' ὅσσα γεγάσι κακῶν καὶ γήραος ἄλκαρ
πεύσῃ, ἐπεὶ μούνῳ σοὶ ἐγὼ κρανέω τάδε πάντα.
παύσεις δ' ἀκαμάτων ἀνέμων μένος οἵ τ' ἐπὶ γαιῶν
δρυνύμενοι πνοιαῖσι καταφθινύθουσιν ἀρούρας·
καὶ πάλιν, ἦν ἐθέλησθα, παλίντιτα πνεύματ(α) ἐπάξεις.
θήσεις δ' ἔξ ὅμβροι κελαινοῦ καύριον αὐχμόν
ἀνθρώποις, θήσεις δὲ καὶ ἔξ αὐχμοῦ θερέου
ρεύματα δενδρεόθρεπτα, τά τ' αἰθέρι ἀίσσονται,
ἄξεις δ' ἔξ Ἄιδαο καταφθιμένου μένος ἀνδρός.

v. 8 τά τ' αἰθέρι ἀίσσονται Wilamowitz: τάτ' αἰθέρι ναιήσονται cod. P¹
Diogenis: τάτ' ἐν θέρει ἀήσονται P²: ταταιθεριναίης ὅντα B: τάτε θέρει-
ναήσονται F: τάτ' αἰθέρια θήσονται Tzetzes: τάτ' ἐν θέρει ἔσονται Σ: τά
τ' αἰθέρι ναιήσονται DK: τά τ' αἰθέρι ναιετάουσι Bollack.

* * *

41

B 5

...στεγάσαι φρενὸς ἔλλοπος εἴσω.

Cf. B 110

* * *

42

B 2

στεινωποὶ μὲν γὰρ παλάμαι κατὰ γυῖα κέχυνται·
 πολλὰ δὲ δεῖλ’ ἔμπαια, τὰ τ’ ἀμβλύνουσι μέριμνας.
 παῦρον δ’ ἐν ζωῆσι βίον μέρος ἀθρήσαντες
 ὡκύμοροι καπνοῖ δίκην ἀρθέντες ἀπέπταν
 αὐτὸ μόνον πεισθέντες, ὅτῳ προσέκυρσεν ἔκαστος
 πάντοσ’ ἐλανόμενοι, τὸ δ’ ὄλον <πᾶς> εὔχεται εὑρεῖν·
 οὕτως οὕτ’ ἐπιδερκτὰ τάδ’ ἀνδράσιν οὕτ’ ἐπακουστά
 οὔτε νόια περιληπτά. σὺ δ’ οὖν, ἐπεὶ ὁδὸς ἐλιάσθης,
 πεύσεαι· οὐ πλέον ἦ γε βροτείη μῆτις ὅρωρεν.

v. 1 κέχυνται codd: τέτανται Pap. Herc. 1012

v. 2 cf. ἂ τ’ ἀμβλύνουσι μερίμνας, B110.7

v. 6 <πᾶς> Bergk, DK: <τίς ἄρ> Frönsel: <οὐδεὶς> Bollack

v. 9 distinxit post πεύσεαι Bollack

πλέον metri causa edd.: πλεῖον codd. Sexti

fortasse πεύσεαι, οὖ (i.e. ὅπου) πλέον etc. [The sense: since you have wandered here, you will get the knowledge (denied to mere men), here where mortal wisdom waxes full].

ἦ γε scripsi: γε codd. Sexti: ἦ DK: ἦ Stein

Cf. ὃν θέμις ἐστιν ἐφημερίοισιν ἀκούειν, B3.4

οὐ πλέον ἦ γε: οὐ πλέον οὕτι Fränkel

* * *

43

B 3

ἀλλὰ θεοὶ τῶν μὲν μανίην ἀποτρέψατε γλώσσης,
 ἐκ δ’ ὄσιων στομάτων καθαρὴν ὄχετεύσατε πηγήν.
 καὶ σέ, πολυμνήστη λευκάλενε παρθένε Μοῦσα,
 ἄντομαι, ὃν θέμις ἐστὶν ἐφημερίοισιν ἀκούειν,
 πέμπε παρ’ Εὐσεβίης ἐλάουσ’ εὐήνιον ἄρμα.
 μηδὲ σέ γ’ εὐδόξιοι βιήσεται ἄνθεα τιμῆς
 πρὸς θυητῶν ἀνελέσθαι, ἐφ’ ὧι θ’ ὄσίης πλέον εἰπεῖν
 θάρσεϊ - καὶ τότε δὴ σοφίης ἐπ’ ἄκροισι θοάζειν.

ἀλλ’ ἄγ’ ἄθρει πάσηι παλάμηι, πῆι δῆλον ἔκαστον,
 μήτε τιν’ ὅμιν ἔχων πίστει πλέον ἢ κατ’ ἀκουήν
 ἢ ἀκοὴν ἐρίδουπον ὑπὲρ τρανώματα γλώσσης,
 μήτε τι τῶν ἄλλων, ὅπόσηι πόρος ἐστὶ νοῆσαι,
 γυίων πίστιν ἔρυκε, νόει δ’ ἡι δῆλον ἔκαστον.

Post B2 teste Sexto VII 124

v. 4 cf. B2.9

* * *

44

B 4

ἀλλὰ κακοῖς μὲν κάρτα μέλει κρατέουσιν ἀπιστεῖν.
 ὡς δὲ παρ’ ἡμετέρης κέλεται πιστώματα Μούσης,
 γνῶθι διασηθέντος ἐνὶ σπλάγχνοισι λόγοιο.

v.1 μέλει Herwerden, D.K.: πέλει cod. Inwood.

v.3 διασηθέντος Diels: διατμηθέντος cod. Clementis:
 διατμισθέντος Wilamowitz
 ἐνὶ σπλάγχνοισι: cf. εἰς φρένα B133.3; B105.

* * *

[**Doctrine 1, πρῶτον ἄκουε:** the Elemental Roots of Existence.
 First Revelation of Truth, first part of Divine Physics]

45

B 6

τέσσαρα γὰρ πάντων ριζώματα πρῶτον ἄκουε
 Ζεὺς ἀργῆς “Ηρη τε φερέσβιος ἥδ’ Ἀιδωνεύς
 Νῆστίς θ’, ἢ δακρύοις τέγγει κρούνωμα βρότειον.

* * *

46

B 7

(τὰ στοιχεῖα)

ἀγένητα

* * *

[Doctrine 2, ἄλλο δέ τοι ἐρέω: Second Revelation of Truth.
 φύσις is μίξις. Two Opposing Principles (*Φιλία, Νεῖκος*)
 of Communion and Repulsion]

47

B 8

ἄλλο δέ τοι ἐρέω· φύσις οὐδενὸς ἔστιν ἀπάντων
 θυητῶν, οὐδέ τις οὐλομένου θανάτου τελευτῆ,
 ἀλλὰ μόνον μίξις τε διάλαξις τε μιγέντων
 ἔστι, φύσις δ' ἐπὶ τοῖς ὀνομάζεται ἀνθρώποισιν.

* * *

48

B 9

οἱ δ' ὅτε μὲν κατὰ φῶτα μιγέντ' ὡς αἰθέρ' ἕδωνται
 ἦ κατὰ θηρῶν ἀγροτέρων γένος ἦ κατὰ θάμνων
 ἦ κατ' οἰωνῶν, τότε μὲν τὸ <λέγουσι> γενέσθαι,
 εὗτε δ' ἀποκρινθῶσι, τὸ δ' αὖ δυσδαιμόνα πότμον.
 ἦ θέμις <οὐ> καλέουσι, νόμῳ δ' ἐπίφημι καὶ αὐτός.

v. 1 μιγέντα (sc. τὰ στοιχεῖα). μιγέντ' ὡς αἰθέρ' ἕδωνται dubitanter proposui: μιγὴν φῶς (sic) αἰθέρι <6-8 litt.> codd. Plutarchi: μιγέντ' εἰς αἰθέρ' ἕκωνται Diels, DK
 οἱ δ' ὅθ' ἕκῃ κατὰ φῶτα μιγέντ' εἴς αἰθέριον φῶς Bignone: οἱ δ' ὅτε κεν κατὰ φῶτα μιγὴν φάσι αἰθέρος ἕκῃ Mullach, Panzerbieter: μιγὴν φῶς αἰθέρι <κύρση> Burnet, van der Ben.

- v. 3 *τὸ <λέγουσι>* Reiske, DK: *τὸν <7 vel 8 lit.>* codd. Plutarchi: *τό<δε φασὶ>* Bernardakis, Inwood: *τά <δε φασὶ>* Xylander: *τόν <φασι>* dubitanter Friedlönner: *τό <γέ φασι>* Panzerbieter, Wright.
- v. 5 ἡ θέμις <*οὐ*> Bernardakis (in Plutarchi 820F), Bachet de Meziriac, Burnet, Pohlenz: ἡ θέμις (820F) vel *εἶναι* (1113 B) codd. Plutarchi: *οὐ θέμις* ἡ Wilamowitz: ἡ θέμις <*οὐ*> DK (<*οὐ*> Wyttenbach): ἡ θέμις <*ἀνθρώποισι*> van der Ben.

* * *

49

B 23

ώς δ' ὅπόταν γραφέες ἀναθήματα ποικίλλωσιν
ἀνέρες ἀμφί τέχνης ὑπὸ μῆτιος εὖ δεδαῶτε,
οἵτ' ἐπεὶ οὖν μάρψωσι πολύχροα φάρμακα χερσίν,
ἀρμονίηι μείξαντε τὰ μὲν πλέω, ἄλλα δ' ἐλάσσω,
ἐκ τῶν εἴδεα πᾶσιν ἀλίγκια πορσύνονται,
δένδρεά τε κτίζοντε καὶ ἀνέρας ἥδε γυναικας
θῆράς τ' οἰωνούς τε καὶ ὑδατοθρέμμονας ἵχθυς
καὶ τε θεοὺς δολιχαίωνας τιμῆσι φερίστους
οὔτω μή σ' ἀπάτη φρένα καινύτω ἀλλοθεν εἶναι
θνητῶν, ὅσσα γε δῆλα γεγάσιν ἀάσπετα, πηγήν,
ἄλλὰ τορῶς ταῦτ' ἵσθι, θεοῦ πάρα μῦθον ἀκούσας.

vv. 6-8 cf. B21.10-12

v. 8 cf. B 146.3

v. 10 γεγάσιν ἀάσπετα Bergk, Inwood: γεγάσιν ἀσπετα codd. Simplicii, Bollack: γεγάκασιν ἀσπετα Diels, DK dubitanter

v. 11 ταῦτ' sc. radices, elementa.

* * *

50

B 11

νήπιοι· οὐ γάρ σφιν δολιχόφρονές εἰσι μέριμναι,
οἱ δὴ γίγνεσθαι πάρος οὐκ ἐδὲ ἐλπίζουσιν

ἢ τι καταθνήσκειν τε καὶ ἐξόλλυσθαι ἀπάντη.

-
- v. 1 δολιχόφρονές εἰσι μερίμναι; cf. τά τ' ἀμβλύνουσι μερίμνας, B 2.2;
cf. B110.7

* * *

51

B 15

οὐκ ἀν ἀνὴρ τοιαῦτα σοφὸς φρεσὶ μαντεύσαιτο,
ώς ὅφρα μέν τε βιῶσι, τὸ δὴ βίοτον καλέουσι,
τόφρα μὲν οὖν εἰσίν, καὶ σφιν πάρα δειλὰ καὶ ἐσθλά,
πρὶν δὲ πάγεν τε βροτοὶ καὶ <ἐπεὶ> λύθεν, οὐδὲν ἄρ' εἴσιν.

Fortasse post B11, teste Plutarcho (*adv. Colotem*, 113C-D, p. 186.10: *τὸ μετά ταῦτ' (sc. B11) ἐπὶ τούναντίον ἀν αἰτιάσασθαι παράσχοι, τοῦ Ἐμπεδοκλέους λέγοντος “οὐκ ἀν ἀνὴρ... οὐδὲν ἄρ' εἴσι”*).

- v. 3 δειλὰ Bergk, DK, Wright, Inwood: δεινὰ codd. Plutarchi, Bollack, fortasse recte, teste probabiliter Plutarcho (*adv. Colotem*, 1113D, p. 186.21 Pohlenz).

* * *

52

B 12

ἢκ τε γὰρ οὐδάμ' ἔόντος ἀμήχανόν ἐστι γενέσθαι
καὶ τ' ἐὸν ἐξαπολέσθαι ἀνήνυστον καὶ ἅπυστον.
αἰεὶ γὰρ τῇ γ' ἔσται, ὅπηι κέ τις αἰὲν ἐρείδῃ.

-
- v. 1 ἢκ τε γὰρ Diels, DK: ἐκ τοῦ γὰρ codd. Philonis, Bollack: ἢκ τε vel ἐκ τοῦ codd. textus de MXG: ἐκ γὰρ τοῦ Wright, Inwood.
οὐδάμ' ἔόντος Diels, DK: οὐδαμῆ ὄντος codd. Philonis: μὴ ὄντος codd. textus de MXG: μὴ ἔόντος Bollack, Wright, Inwood.
v. 2 καὶ τ' ἐὸν Stein, DK, Wright, Indood: τό τε ὅν codd., Wilamowitz: τό τ'
ἐὸν Bollack

ἐξαπολέσθαι cod. Philonis, DK: *ἐξαπολεῖσθαι* ceteri codd. Philonis: *ἐξόλησθαι* codd. textus de MXG, Bollack

ἄπνυστον Mangey, DK, Wright, Inwood: *ἄπανυστον* codd. Philonis: *ἄπρηκτον* codd. textus de MXG, Bollack.

v. 3 cf. B110.1

τῇ γ' ἔσται Panzerbieter, DK, Wright, Inwood: *θήσεσθαι* codd.: *θησεῖται* Bollack

* * *

53

B 13

οὐδέ τι τοῦ παντὸς κενεὸν πέλει οὐδὲ περισσόν.

* * *

54

B 14

τοῦ παντὸς δ' οὐδὲν κενεόν· πόθεν οὖν τί κ' ἐπέλθοι;

* * *

55

B 18

Φιλίη

* * *

56

B 19

σχεδύνην Φιλότητα

* * *

57

B 16

(probably *Φιλία* and *Νέκος*)

᾽εσται γὰρ ὡς πάρος ἦν καὶ ἔσσεται, οὐδὲ ποτ', οἴω,
τούτων ἀμφοτέρων κενεώσεται ἀσπετος αἰών.

v. 1 ᾽εσται γὰρ ὡς πάρος ἦν καὶ Lloyd-Jones, Wright, Inwood: εἰ (vel ἵν) καὶ πάρος ἦν καὶ codd.: γὲ γὰρ καὶ πάρος ἔσκε καὶ DK dubitanter: ἦν γὰρ καὶ πάρος ἦν καὶ Bollack: ἥ γὰρ καὶ πάρος ἦν καὶ <γ> Marcovich: καὶ γὰρ καὶ πάρος ἦν <τε> καὶ Schneidewin: ἥ γὰρ καὶ πάρος ἷν καὶ Miller, Wendland: ἥ γὰρ καὶ πάρος ἷν <ὡς> καὶ Nauck.

* * *

[Doctrine 3: Third Revelation of Truth: *Δίπλ'* ἐρέω.
Cosmic Cyclicity in Two Opposing Phases
under Two Opposing Principles]

58

B 17+a+*Metaph.* 1000a29-32 + Simpl. *in Phys.* p. 161.20 Diels

B 17.1 δίπλ' ἐρέω· τοτὲ μὲν γὰρ ἐν ηὔξηθη μόνον εἶναι
ἐκ πλεόνων, τοτὲ δ' αὖ διέφυ πλέον' ἐξ ἐνὸς εἶναι.
δοὶ δὲ θυητῶν γένεσις, δοὶ δ' ἀπόλεψις·
τὴν μὲν γὰρ πάντων σύνοδος τίκτει τ' ὀλέκει τε,
ἡ δὲ πάλιν διαφυομένων θρεφθεῖσα διέπτη.
καὶ ταῦτ' ἀλλάσσοντα διαμπερὲς οὐδαμὰ λήγει,
ἄλλοτε μὲν Φιλότητι συνερχόμεν' εἰς ἐν ἄπαντα,
ἄλλοτε δ' αὖ δίχ' ἔκαστα φορεύμενα Νείκεος ἔχθει.
οὕτως ἥ μὲν ἐν ἐκ πλεόνων μεμάθηκε φύεσθαι,
10. δὲ πάλιν διαφύντος ἐνὸς πλέον' ἐκτελέθουσι,
τῇ μὲν γίγνονται τε καὶ οὓ σφισιν ἐμπεδος αἰών.
ἥ δὲ διαλλάσσοντα διαμπερὲς οὐδαμὰ λήγει,
ταῦτη δ' αἰὲν ἔασιν ἀκίνητοι κατὰ κύκλον.

- ἀλλ’ ἄγε μύθων κλῦθι· μάθη γάρ τοι φρένας αὔξει·
 ώς γὰρ καὶ πρὸν ἔειπα πιφαύσκων πείρατα μύθων,
 δίπλ’ ἐρέω τοτὲ μὲν γὰρ ἐν ηὐξήθη μόνον εἶναι
 ἐκ πλεόνων, τοτὲ δ’ αὖ διέφυ πλέον’ ἐξ ἐνὸς εἶναι,
 πῦρ καὶ ὅδωρ καὶ γαῖα καὶ ἥρος ἀπλετον ὑψος,
 Νεῖκός τ’ οὐλόμενον δίχα τῶν, ἀτάλαντον ἀπάντη,
 20. καὶ Φιλότης ἐν τοῖσιν, ἵση μῆκός τε πλάτος τε·
 τὴν σὺ νόσιοι δέρκευ, μηδ’ ὅμμασιν ἥσο τεθηπώς·
 ἥτις καὶ θνητοῖσι νομίζεται ἔμφυτος ἄρθροις,
 τῇ τε φίλα φρονέουσι καὶ ἄρθμια ἔργα τελοῦσι,
 Γηθοσύνην καλέοντες ἐπώνυμον ἡδ’ Ἀφροδίτην·
 τὴν οὖ τις μετὰ τοῖσιν ἐλισσομένην δεδάηκε
 θυητὸς ἀνήρ· σὺ δ’ ἄκουε λόγου στόλον οὐκ ἀπατηλόν.
- ταῦτα γὰρ ἴσα τε πάντα καὶ ἥλικα γένναν ἔασι,
 τιμῆς δ’ ἄλλης ἄλλο μέδει, πάρα δ’ ἥθος ἔκάστωι,
 ἐν δὲ μέρει κρατέουσι περιπλομένοιο χρόνοιο.
30. καὶ πρὸς τοῖς οὕτ’ ἄρ τι ἐπιγίγνεται οὐδ’ ἀπολήγει·
 εἴτε γὰρ ἐφθείροντο διαμπερές, οὐκ ἂν ἔτ’ ἥσαν.
 τοῦτο δ’ ἐπαυξήσειε τὸ πᾶν τί κε; καὶ πόθεν ἐλθόν;
 πῆι δέ κε κηξαπόλοιτο, ἐπεὶ τῶνδ’ οὐδὲν ἐρῆμον;
 ἀλλ’ αὐτ’ ἐστιν ταῦτα, δι’ ἀλλήλων τε θέοντα
 γίγνεται ἄλλοτε ἄλλα καὶ ἡνεκὲς αἰὲν ὄμοια.
- a(i)6 [ἀλλ’ ἐν μὲν Φιλότητι συνερχό]μεν’ εἰς ἔνα κόσμον,
 [ἐν δ’ "Ἐχθρηι γε πάλιν διέφυ πλέ]ον’ ἐξ ἐνὸς εἶναι,
 [ἐξ ὧν πάνθ’ ὅσα τ’ ἦν ὅσα τ’ ἐσθ’ ὅ]σα τ’ ἔσσετ’ ὀπίσσω
- a(i)9 [δένδρεά τ’ ἐβλάστησε καὶ ἀνέρες] ἡδὲ γυναῖκες,
- a(ii)1 [θ]ῆρες τ’ οἰωνοί [τε καὶ] ὑδατοθρ[έμμονες ἰχθῦς]
 [κ]αί τε θεοὶ δολιχα[ίων]ες τιμῆισ[ι φέριστοι.]
 [ἐ]ν τῇ δ’ ἀίσσοντα [διαμπ]ερὲς οὐδ[αμὰ λήγει]
 [π]υκνῆιστν δίνηισ[ιν]]τ[]
 [ν]ωλεμέσ, οὐδέ πο[τ]
 [πολλ]οὶ δ’ αἰῶνες πρότεροι[οι]
 [πρὸν] τούτων μεταβήνα[ι]
 [πά]ντη δ’ ἀίσσοντα [τ]α διαμ[περὲς οὐδαμὰ λήγει·]
 [ῶς] τε γὰρ ἡέλιος ρ[ύμβ]ην ἔχει, οὐδὲ σελήνη]
 a(ii)10 [όρ]μης τοι τῶν ἄλλων [μένει ἔμπεδον οὐρανῷ ἀστρων,]

[ἀλ]λὰ μεταλλάσσον[τ' ἄσσ]ει κύκλωι [ἄπαντα],
 [εἰς ὅ]τε μὲν γὰρ γαῖ [ἀβ]άτη θέει ἡέλ[ιός τε]
 [σφαιρά] τ' ὕσην δὴ καὶ[ν] ἐπ' ἀνδράσι τ[εκμήρασθαι·]
 [ῶς δ' α]ὔτως τάδ[ε π]άντα δι' ἀλλήλων [προθέουσιν]
 [κάλλο]ντα τ' ἀλλ[οτ'] ἵασ[ι] τόπους πλαγ[χθέντα καὶ
 ἄλλους.]
 [πρίν τοι κ' ἔσ] μεσάτους γ[ε συνε]ρχόμεν' ἐν μόνον εἶεν.]
 [ἄλλ' ὅτ]ε δὴ Νεῖκος [γ' ἀν]τερβατα βέν[θε' ἕκηται]
 δ[ίνη]σ, ἐν δὲ μέσ[η] Φ[ιλ]ότης στροφά[λιγγι γένηται,]
 a(ii)20 ἐν [τῆ]ι δὴ τάδε πάντα συνέρχεται ἐν [μόνον εἶναι.]
 [σπεῦδε δέ δ' ὅπως μὴ μοῦνον ἀν' οὐατα [μῦθος ἕκηται]
 [ἡδέ] μεν ἀμφίς ἐόντα κλύων [ν]ημερτ[έα φράζεν·]
 [δεί]ξω σοι καὶ ἀν' ὅσσ' ἥνα μείζονι σώμ[ατι κύρει,]
 [π]ρωτον μὲν ἔνυδον τε διάπτυξίν τ[ε γενέθλης]
 ὅσ[σ]α τε νῦν ἔτι λοιπά πέλει τούτοιο τ[όκοιο,]
 τοῦτο μὲν [ἀν] θηρῶν δριπλάγκτων ἄγ[ρια φῦλα,]
 τοῦτο δ' ἀν' ἀ[νθρώ]πων δίδυμον φύμα, [τοῦτο δ' ἀν'
 ἀγρῶν]
 ρίζοφόρων γέννημα καὶ ἀμπελοβάμ[ονα βότρυν·]
 ἐκ τῶν ἀψευδῆ κόμισαι φρενὶ δείγματα μ[ύθων·]
 a(ii)30 ὅψει γὰρ ἔνυδον τε διάπτυξίν τε γενέθλη[σ.] Γ = 300

In primum librum, teste Simplicio (*In Phys.* p. 157.25 sqq.): ὁ δὲ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς... οὔτως ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ τῶν Φυσικῶν.

- B17.5 θρεφθεῖσα Panzerbieter, Diels, DK, Inwood: θρυφθεῖσα codd.
 Simplicii, Karsten: δρυφθεῖσ' unus codex, Bollack.
 διέπτη: Scaliger, DK, Inwood: δρεπτή codd.
 9 ex B26.8 inseruerunt Bergk, DK, Wright, Inwood.
 10 ἥδε Karsten, DK, Wright, Inwood: ἥ δέ vel. ἥ δε vel ἥ δὲ codd.:
 ἥ δὲ Wilamowitz, Bollack.
 13 ἀκίνητοι (sc. elementa qua dei deaeque) codd., DK, Bollack,
 Inwood, Wright: ἀκίνητον Bock: ἀκίνητο Panzerbieter.
 18 ἡέρος codd. Sexti, Athenagorae, Simplicii, DK: αἱθέρος codd.
 Plutarchi, Clementis.
 ἄπλετον: codd. Clementis, Simplicii, DK: ἄπιον codd. Plutarchi,
 Athenagorae, Sexti.
 19 ἀπάντη: codd. Sexti, Hippolyti, DK: ἄκαστον codd. Simplicii:
 ἄκαστω Panzerbieter.

- Φιλότης* codd. Simplicii, Sexti, Plutarchi, DK: *Φιλίη* codd. Sexti in alterum locum, Athenagorae, Hippolyti.
- ἐν codd. Simplicii, Plutarchi, DK: *μετὰ* codd. Sexti in locum utrumque, Athenagorae, Hippolyti.
- 25 *μετὰ τοῦτον* Brandis, DK, Wright, Inwood: *μετ' ὅστισιν* vel *ὅσσοισιν* codd. Simplicii: *μετ' ὅστισιν* Bollack.
- 28 *τιμῆς*: cf. B30.2
- 29 cf. B26.1
- 30 ἄρ τι ἐπιγίνεται DK: ἄρτι ἐπιγί(γ)νεται codd. Simplicii, Wilamowitz (cf. Lucretius II 296 auctore Bignone): ἄρ ἐπιγίνεται cod. Simplicii: ἄρ τέ τι γίνεται Diels: ἄρ οὐτ' ἐπιγίγνεται Karsten; οὐδ' ἄρτι τι γίνεται Lloyd-Jones.
- 31 οὐκ ἀν ἔτι Papyrus, MP: οὐκέτι iv codd., DK.
- 33 κε κηξαπόλοιτο Diels, DK: (καὶ) κήρυξ ἀπόλοιτο codd. Simplicii: καὶ ἐξαπόλοιτ' ἀν Karsten: καὶ ἐξαπολοίατο Stein: κε κῆρυξ ἀπόλοιτο Bollack.
- Cf. B21.23; B26.3
- 34 τε Janko: δὲ codd. Simplicii: γε MP
- a(i) 6 συνερχόμεν' correctio in Pap.: συνερχόμεθ' pr.m. Pap., MP, Janko
- a(ii) 9 [*ῶσ*]τε scripsi, cf. a(ii) 15 ὡς δ' αὔτως: [*οὐ*]τε MP, Janko
ρ[ύμβ]ην scripsi: *τ[ύρβ]ην* Janko
ἴ[χει, οὔτε σελήνη] Janko
οὐδὲ scripsi: *οὔτε* Janko
- a(ii) 10 [*όρ*]μῆκι Primavesi, Janko
- γέμου[σα τε καὶ φθινύθουσ' ἀπολήγει] Janko
- a(ii) 11 [*μένει ἔμπεδον οὐρανῷ ἀστρων*] ὠanko
- a(ii) 12 [*ἀλ*]λὰ μεταλλάσσον[τ' ἀίσσ]ει MP, Janko
- ἄπαντα Janko: ἀπάντη MP
- a(ii) 13 [*εἰς ὅ*]τε scripsi: δὴ τό]τε MP, Janko
μὲν pap: fortasse *μὴν*
ἢελ[ίος τε] MP: *ἢελ[ίον τε]* Janko
- a(ii) 15 [*προθέουσιν*] Janko: [*τε θέεσκεν*] MP
- a(ii) 16 τ' ἄλλο[οτ] ἵασ[ι] Janko: τ' ἄλλο' [ἱκα]νε MP
- πλαγ[χθέντα καὶ ἄλλους] Janko: πλαγ[χθέντ' ὑδίους τε] MP
- a(ii) 17 [*πρίν τοι κὲς*] scripsi: [*οὐ τοι δὴ*] Janko: [αὐθάδη?] MP
γ[ε συνε]ρχόμεν' ἐν *μ[όνον εἶνεν]* scripsi: τ' [*εἰσε]ρχόμεθ'* ἐν *μ[όνον εἶναι]* MP, Janko

- a(ii) 18-20 cf B35.3-5
- a(ii) 18 *[γ' ἀν]υπέρβατα scripsi: [μὲν ὁ]περβατὰ MP, Janko*
- a(ii) 22 *φράζεν Janko: δέρκεν? MP*
- a(ii) 23 *σώμα[ατι κύρει?] MP: σώμα[ατ] ἀμείβ[ει] Janko ex g1*
- a(ii) 25 *τ[όκοιο] MP (cf. d13 τεκνώθ[η]σαν): τ'[ά]μοιρ[α]*
Janko ex g3
- a(ii) 26 *ἄγ[ρια φῦλα] Janko: ἄγ[ρότερ' εἰδη] MP*
- a(ii) 27 *ἀγρῶν? MP: ἀνθεων Janko*
- a(ii) 30 *versum memorat Simplicius In Phys. 161.20*

* * *

59

c+B 20

*[ἔργα δι?]άκτορα μη[τίσασθαι?,]
 τοῦτο μὲν ἀν βροτέων μελέων ἀριδείκετον ὄγκον.
 ἄλλοτε μὲν Φιλότητι συνερχόμεν' εἰς ἔν ἅπαντα
 γυῆ, τὰ σῶμα λέλογχε βίου θα]λόοντος ἐν ἀκμῇ.
 ἄλλοτε δ' αὐτε κακῆισι διατμηθέντ' Ἐρδεσσι,
 πλάζεται ἄνδιχ' ἔκαστα περὶ ρήγμανι βίοιο.
 ὡς δ' αὕτως θάμνοισι καὶ ἵχθυσιν ὑδρομελάθροις
 θηρσί τ' ὀρειλεχέεσσιν ἵδε πτεροβάμοσι κύμβαις*

v. 1 cf. d6

*[εἴκεος εἴκενεν ἔργα δι?]άκτορα Janko, sed opus principiorum
 utrorumque describitur in sequentibus.*

v. 3 *συν]ερχόμεν' post cor. Pap.; codd. Simplicii, DK: συν]ερχόμεθ' ante cor.
 Pap., Martin-Primavesi, Janko.*

v. 4 *θα]λόοντος scripsi post cor. Pap. ut videtur: θαλέοντος cod. Simplicii:
 θα]λοῦντος vel θη]λοῦντος ante cor. Papyrus ut videtur: θαλέθοντος codd.
 Simplicii, DK, Inwood, Janko: θη?]λοῦντος MP.*

v. 8 *τ' ὀρειλεχέεσσιν Schneider, Diels, DK, Janko (cf. b127.1): τ' ὀρειμελέεσσιν
 vel τε ρημελέεσσιν codd. Simplici: τ' ὀρειμελέεσσιν Bollack, MP.*

* * *

60

d + B 139

[ᾶν]διχ' ἀπ' ἀλλήλω[ν] πεσέ[ει]ν καὶ π[ότ]μον ἐπισπεῦν
 [πό]λλ' ἀεκαζομέν[ο]ισιν ἀ[να]γκα[ίης ὅ]πο λυγρῆς
 [ση]πο[μ]ένοις· Φιλίην δὲ [καὶ Ε]ἰν[οίη]ν νυν ἔχουσιν
 [Ἄρ]πνιαι θανάτοιο πάλοις [ήμιν παρέσ]τονται.

οἵμοι ὅτ(ι)’ οὐ πρόσθεν με διώλεσε νηλεὲς ἥμαρ, B 139.1

πρὸν χηλᾶις σχέτλι’ ἔργα βορᾶς πέρι μητίσασθαι. 2

[νῦν δ]έ μάτη[ν ἐν] τῶιδε νότ[ῳ κατέδ]ευσα παρειάς.

[έξικ]νούμε[θα γὰ]ρ πολυβενθ[έα Δινον?] δέω,

[μυρία τ(ε) οὐκ] ἐθέλονσι παρέσσε[ται ἄλγ]εα θυμῶι

[ἀνθρώποις?· ἡ]μεῖς δὲ λόγων ἐπιβ[ησόμ]εθ' αὖθις

[κείνων· ὅππότ]ε δὴ συνετύγχανε φ[λογ]ημὸς ἀτειρής

[] ἡ[ω]ς ἀνάγων π[ο]λυπήμ[ον]α κρᾶσιν

[] ζῶι[α φυτάλμια τεκνώθη]σαν

[] τῶν καὶ? ν[ῦν ἔτι λείψανα δέρκεται ἡώς

[] εἰς τόπον ἐσχάτιο[ν β]ῆν

[] κλαγ[γή]ν καὶ ἀὔτην

[] "Ατης λει[μῶνα λαχόντα

[]]τε? περὶ χθών

[]]

d5-6 cf. B139

d5a [οἴ]μοι ὅτ’ οὐ post corr. Pap. at videtur, codd. Porphyrii, DK, MP; Janko: [οἴ]μοι στ οὐ (sic) ante corr. Pap.

d6 πρὸν σχέτλι’ ἔργα βορᾶς πέρι χείλεσι μητίσασθαι codd. Porphyrii, DK

d7 ἐν] τῷδε νότ[ῳ δοστ corr. Pap., MP: τού]τῳ γε νότ[ῳ Janko

d10 ἐπιβ[ησόμ]εθ’ ante corr. Pap., Janko; ἐπιβ[ησόμ]εν post corr. pap.: <σ>’ ἐπιβ[ησόμ]εν MP

d14 ν[ῦν ἔτι λείψανα: cf. a(ii) 25 νῦν ἔτι λοιπά.

d15 βῆν post corr. Pap.: βῆι ante corr. Pap.

Hinc fragmentum f collocavit Janko.

d17 "Ατης? λει[μῶνα MP; cf. B 121.4. Cf. τόδ' ὑπ' ἄντρον ὑπόστεγον, B 120. γαίης κειθημῶνα Janko.

d18 Dubium quid post correctionem legabatur; an]τε? α?ντε MP .]ντο ante correctionem: χ[ύ]το? α?]ντό MP: ε[ύ]λ]ντο Janko, quod in textum recepit.

61

B 10

θάνατον... ἀλοίτην...

* * *

[Transition]

62

B 25

...καὶ δὶς γάρ, ὅ δει, καλόν ἔστιν ἐνισπεῖν.

* * *

63

B 24

*κορυφὰς ἐτέρας ἐτέρηισι προσάπτων
μύθων μὴ τελέειν ἀτραπὸν μίαν,*

v. 2 *μὴ τελέειν* Knatz, DK, Inwood: *μήτε λέγειν* codd. Plutarchi, Bollack:
μῆτ' ἐλθεῖν Lloyd-Jones.

* * *

[Recapitulation: Mixture of Elements under the Principles]

64

B 21

*ἀλλ ἄγε, τόνδ' ὁάρων προτέρων ἐπιμάρτυρα δέρκευ,
εἴ τι καὶ ἐν προτέροισι λιπόξυλον ἐπλεπτο μορφῆι,
ἡέλιον μὲν λευκὸν ὄρᾶν καὶ θερμὸν ἀπάντη,
ἄμβροτα δ' ὅσσ' εἴδει τε καὶ ἀργέτι δεύεται αὐγῆι,
ὅμβρον δ' ἐν πᾶσι δυοφόεντά τε ρίγαλέον τε
ἐκ δ' αἵης προρέουσι θελεμνά τε καὶ στερεωπά.*

ἐν δὲ Κότωι διάμορφα καὶ ἄνδιχα πάντα πέλονται,
 σὺν δ’ ἔβη ἐν Φιλότητι καὶ ἀλλήλοισι ποθεῖται.
 ἐκ τούτων γὰρ πάνθ’ ὅσα τ’ ἦν ὅσα τ’ ἔστι καὶ ἔσται,
 δένδρεά τ’ ἐβλάστησε καὶ ἀνέρες ἥδε γυναικες,
 θῆρες τ’ οἰωνοί τε καὶ ὑδατοθρέψμονες ἵχθυς,
 καί τε θεοὶ δολιχαίωνες τιμῆσι φέριστοι.
 αὐτὰ γὰρ ἔστιν ταῦτα, δι’ ἀλλήλων δὲ θέοντα
 γίγνεται ἀλλοιωπά· τόσον διὰ κρῆσις ἀμείβει.

Post B17, intervallo longo posito, teste Simplicio (*In Phys.* 159.13): πλείονα δὲ
 ἄλλα εἰπὼν ἐπάγει ἐκάστου τῶν εἰρημένων τὸν χαρακτῆρα, τὸ μὲν πῦρ ἥλιον
 καλῶν etc.

- v. 3 λευκὸν... θερμὸν codd. Aristotelis, DK, Wright: λαμπρὸν... θερμὸν
 codd. Plutarchi, Inwood: θερμὸν... λαμπρὸν codd. Simplicii,
 Galeni, Bollack.
 ὄραν codd. Aristotelis, Simplicii, DK: ὄρα codd. Aristotelis,
 Plutarchi, Wilamowitz: ὄρᾶ cod. Simplicii.
- v. 4 δ’ ὅστ’ εἴδει τε Wackernagel, DK, Bollack: δὲ ὅσσε δέ τε vel δὲ
 ὅστ’ ἔδεται vel δ’ ὅσσα ἔδετο codd. Simplicii: δ’ ὅστ’ ἔδει τε
 Diels: δ’ ὅστ’ εἴλη τε Wilamowitz.
- v. 5 δνοφόεντα codd. Aristotelis, Plutarchi (δνοφέοντα alii): ζοφόεντα
 codd. Aristotelis: γνοφόεντα cod. Aristotelis post corr.
- v. 6 προρέοντι codd. DK: προθέοντι Schöne
 θελεμνά Hesychius, DK, Wilamowitz: θελημνά vel. θελημά vel.
 θελήματα codd. Simplicii: θελημά Bollack: θέλυμνα Sturz, Diels,
 Bignone.
- v. 9 ἐκ τούτων γὰρ πάνθ’ ὅσα τ’ ἦν ὅσα τ’ ἔστι καὶ ἔσται codd.
 Simplicii, DK, Bollack: ἐξ ὧν πάνθ’ ὅσα τ’ ἦν ὅσα τ’ ἔσθ’ ὅσα τ’
 ἔσται ὀπίσσω codd. Aristotelis: ἐκ τῶν πάνθ’ ὅσα τ’ ἦν ὅσα τ’
 ἔστι καὶ ἔσται ὀπίσσω Wright, Inwood.
- vv. 10-12 cf. B23.6-8
- v. 14 τόσον διὰ κρῆσις DK, Bollack: τόγεν διάκρισις vel διάκρασις
 codd. Simplicii: τὰ γὰρ διὰ κρῆσις Wright, Inwood.

* * *

[Recapitulation: Double Phase Cyclicity]

65

B 26

ἐν δὲ μέρει κρατέουσι περιπλομένοιο κύκλοιο,
καὶ φθίνει εἰς ἄλληλα καὶ αὖξεται ἐν μέρει αὐστησ.
αὐτὰ γὰρ ἔστιν ταῦτα, δι’ ἀλλήλων δὲ θέοντα
γίνονται^(αι) ἄνθρωποί τε καὶ ἄλλων ἔθνεα θηρῶν
ἄλλοτε μὲν Φιλότητι συνερχόμεν εἰς ἔνα κόσμον,
ἄλλοτε δ’ αὖ δίχ’ ἔκαστα φορούμενα Νείκεος ἔχθει,
εἰσόκεν ἐν συμφύντα τὸ πᾶν ὑπένερθε γένηται.
οὕτως ἥι μὲν ἐν ἐκ πλεόνων μεμάθηκε φύεσθαι
ἡδὲ πάλιν διαφύντος ἐνὸς πλέον ἐκτελέθουσι,
τῇ μὲν γίγνονται τε καὶ οὕ σφισιν ἔμπεδος αἰών
ἥι δὲ τάδ’ ἄλλάσσοντα διαμπερές οὐδαμὰ λήγει,
ταύτῃ δ’ αἱὲν ἔασιν ἀκίνητοι κατὰ κύκλον.

Breve post B21, teste Simplicio (*In Phys.* 33.18): καὶ δλίγον δὲ προελθών φησιν “ἐν δὲ μέρει... κύκλον”.

- v. 1 cf. B17.29
- v. 3 cf. B17.34; B21.13
- v. 4 θηρῶν Karsten, Stutz, DK, Bollack: κηρῶν codd. Simplicii: θνητῶν Bergk
- vv. 6-10 cf. B17.9-13.

* * *

[The Mechanism of Communion and Repulsion.
General Theory of Mixture]

66

B 22

ἄρθμια μὲν γὰρ ταῦτα ἔαυτῶν πάντα μέρεσσιν,
ηλέκτωρ τε χθών τε καὶ οὐρανὸς ἡδὲ θάλασσα,
ὅσσα φιν ἐν θνητοῖσιν ἀποπλαχθέντα πέφυκεν.

ώς δ' αὔτως ὅσα κρῆσιν ἐπαρκέα μᾶλλον ἔασιν,
ἀλλήλοις ἔστερκται ὁμοιωθέντ' Ἀφροδίτηι.
ἐχθρὰ <δ' ἀ> πλεῦστον ἀπ' ἀλλήλων διέχουσι μάλιστα
γέννητι τε κρήσει τε καὶ εἴδεσιν ἐκμάκτοισι,
πάντητι συγγίνεσθαι ἀγέθεα καὶ μάλα λυγρά
Νείκεος ἐννεσίησιν, ὅτι σφίσι γένναν ἔοργεν.

-
- v. 1 *ταῦτα ἔαυτῶν* DK: *ἔαυτά* (vel *αὐτὰ*) *ἔαυτῶν* codd. Simplicii: *ἔαυτὰ*
ἔαυτῶν Bollack
- v. 3 *ἀποπλαχθέντα* cod. Simplicii, DK, Bollack: *ἀποπλαγχθέντα* codd.
Simplicii, Sider, Inwood
- v. 6 *ἐχθρὰ <δ' ἀ>* Diels, DK: *ἐχθρὰ <δὲ>* Karsten
- v. 7 *γέννη* codd. Simplicii: *γένει* codd. Theophrasti
- v. 9 *Νείκεος ἐννεσίησιν:* Panzerbieter, DK, cf. B115.4: *νεικεογεννέστησιν*
codd. Simplicii: *νεικεογεννηταῖσι* Scaliger: *νεικεογεννητῆσι* Kasten,
Inwood: *νεικεογεννήτουσι* Sturz, Lobkec, Stein
γένναν ἔοργεν Diels: *γένναν ὄργα* codd. Simplicii: *πᾶν δέμας ὄργα*
Karsten: *γέννᾳ ἐν ὄργῃ* Wright coniecit, Inwood: *γένναν <άν>όργα*
Gallavoti

* * *

67

B 32

... δύο δέει ἄρθρων.

Cf. ἄρθμια B22.1; ἐνάρθμιον B91.1; ἔδησε B33

δύο δέει ἄρθρων Cardini, Inwood. Cf. Solon F28c Ruschenbusch= Lucian,
Eunuchus, 10: *καὶ μοιχὸς ἐάλω ποτέ, ώς ὁ ἄξων φησίν, ἄρθρα ἐν ἄρθροις*
ἔχων, i.e. membrum virile in pudendis muliebribus: δύω δέει ἄρθρον Diels, DK:
διὸ δεῖ (vel δὴ) ὄρθῶς codd. textus de lineis insecabilibus.

* * *

68

B 91

(τὸῦ δῶρον)

οἵνωι . . . μᾶλλον ἐνάρθμιον, αὐτὰρ ἐλαίωι
οὐκ ἐθέλει.

v. 1 οἵνωι δῶρον μᾶλλον μὲν Stein

* * *

69

B 33

ώς δ' ὅτ' ὅπος γάλα λευκὸν ἐγόμφωσεν καὶ ἔδησε...

* * *

70

B 34

ἄλφιτον ὕδατι κολλήσας...

* * *

71

B 92

... τῷι καττιτέρῳι μειχθέντα... τὸν χαλκόν

* * *

72

B 93

βύσσωι δὲ γλαικῆς κόκκος καταμίσγεται ἀκτῆς,

κόκκος Diels, DK: *κρόκου*, *κρόκον*, *κρόνου* codd. Plutarchi: *κόκκου* Xylander, Flacelinge: *κόρκου* Bollack: (*γλαιύκοιο*) *κρόκου* Bennet, Inwood

ἀκτῆς Wilamowitz, DK: ἀκτίς vel nihil codd.: ἀκτίς Flacelinre, Bollack, Inwood, Wright
 βύσσω δὲ γλαυκῇ κόκκου καταμίσγεται ἄνθος Wyttenbach.

* * *

73

B 81

οἶνος ἀπὸ φλοιοῦ πέλεται σαπὲν ἐν ξύλῳ ὕδωρ.

ἀπὸ codd. Plutarchi, DK: ὑπὸ Xylander, Friedländer fortasse recte.

* * *

74

B 96

ἥ δὲ χθῶν ἐπίγρος ἐν εὐστέρνοις χοάνοισι
 τὰ δύο τῶν ὀκτὼ μερέων λάχε *Nήστιδος αἴγλης,*
τέσσαρα δ' Ἡφαίστοιο, τὰ δ' ὁστέα λευκὰ γένοντο
Ἀρμονίης κόλλησιν ἀρηρότα θεσπεσίηθεν.

In librum primum Physicorum teste Simplicio (*In Phys.* 300.19)

- v. 1 *εὐστέρνοις* codd. Aristotelis, Simplicii *In de anima*, unus Simplicii *In phys.*, DK, Bollack: *εὐτύκτοις* codd. Simplicii *In phys.*, Sider, Inwood
- v. 2 *τὰ* Steinhart, DK, Wright: *τῶν* codd. Aristotelis plerique: *τὰς* codd. Simplicii, unus Aristotelis, Bollack, Sider, Inwood: *τὰ* cod. unus Aristotelis, unus Simplicii
μερέων codd. Aristotelis, Simplicii unus, DK: *μοιράων* codd. Aristotelis, Simplicii, Bollack, Sider, Inwood
- v. 4 *θεσπεσίηθεν:* codd. DK, Bollack: *θεσπεσίησιν* Sider, Inwood

BIBΛION B'

The Cosmic Cycle



a) *Σφαῖρος* and Phase of Advancing *Nεῦκος* Nos. 75-128

[*Σφαῖρος*]

75

B 27

ἐνθ' οὖτ' ἡελίου δεδίσκεται ἀγλαὸν εἶδος,
οὐδὲ μὲν οὐδ' αἴης λάσιον μένος οὐδὲ θάλασσα

-
- v. 1 δεδίσκεται Karsten, Bernardakis, Pohlenz: δεδίττεται codd. Plutarchi: διεί-
δεται Cherniss, Inwood: δεδίσσεται Bollack
v. 2 μένος Bergk, DK, Inwood: γένος codd. Plutarchi, Bollack: δέμας Karsten:
σθένος Pohlenz dubitanter in apparatu critico

* * *

76

B 27

ἐνθ' οὖτ' ἡελίοιο διείδεται ὡκέα γυνᾶ

...

οὖτως Ἀρμονίης πυκινῶι κρύφωι ἐστήρικται
Σφαῖρος κυκλοτερῆς μονίηι περιγηθέῃ γαίων.

-
- v. 1 διείδεται codd. Simplici, DK, Bollack, Inwood
v. 3 cf. B28.2

μονίη codd. Simplicii, DK, Bollack, Wright: μόνη vel. μονῆ alii codd.
περιγηθέῃ codd. Simplicii, Bollack, Inwood: περὶ γῆθ' ἢ cod. Simplicii:
περιγέθει codd. Marci Antonini: περιγέῃ DK, Wright

γαίων codd. Simplicii, Marci Antonini, DK, Bollack, Inwood, Wright:
αιῶν codd. Simplicii

* * *

77

B 28

ἀλλ’ ὅ γε πάντοθεν ἵσος <έοι> καὶ πάμπαν ἀπείρων
Σφαῖρος κυκλοτερῆς μονίηι περιηγέου χαίρων.

cf. Parmenides B8.49, 57

- v. 1 <έοι> Maas, DK, Inwood, Wright: <ἐών> Grotius, Bollack: <ἔην> Diels: <όμοῦ> vel <όμως> Wachsmuth
- v. 2 μονίη Diels, DK, Bollack: μόνη codd. Procli: μούνη codd. Achillei: μανία codd. Anonymi in Aratum: μμίης codd. Stobaei περιηγέου codd. Achillei, Anonymi, Procli, DK, Bollack, Wright: περιεθῆ vel περιτείθη codd. Stobaei χαίρων codd. Achillei, Anonymi, Procli, Bollack: γαίων DK, Inwood, Wright

* * *

78

B 29

οὐ γάρ ἀπὸ νάτοιο δύο κλάδοι ἀίσσονται,
οὐ πόδες, οὐ θοὰ γοῦν(α), οὐ μήδεα γεννήεντα,
ἀλλὰ σφαῖρος ἔην καὶ <πάντοθεν> ἵσος ἑαυτῷ.

cf. B 134

- v. 1 νάτοιο... ἀίσσονται edd.: νότοιο... ἀίσσονται cod. Hippolyti: ἀίσσοντο proposuit Wilamowitz
- v. 2 γοῦν(α) Diels (cf. B134.3), DK, Bollack: γούνατ cod. γεννήεντα Sauppe, DK, Bollack: γενήεντα cod. (λαχνήεντα B134.3)
- v. 3 <πάντοθεν> ἵσος ἑαυτῷ Schneidewin (cf. Hesiodus, Theogonia, 126), DK: ἵσος ἐστὶν αὐτῷ cod.: <πάντ> ἵσος ἐστὶν ἑαυτῷ Miller: + ἵσος ἐστὶν αὐτῷ + Wendland: ἵσος + ἐστὶν αὐτῷ + Bollack

* * *

79

B 134

οὐδὲ γὰρ ἀνδρομέη κεφαλῆι κατὰ γυῖα κέκασται,
 οὐ μὲν ἀπαὶ νώτοι δύο κλάδοι ἀίσσονται,
 οὐ πόδες, οὐ θοὰ γοῦν(α), οὐ μήδεα λαχνήεντα,
 ἀλλὰ φρὴν ἴερὴ καὶ ἀθέσφατος ἔπλετο μοῖνον,
 φροντίσι κόσμον ἄπαντα καταίσσοντα θοῆισιν.

Cf. B29

In librum secundum Physicorum teste, ut opinor, Tzetze. Codd. habent $\tau\hat{\omega}$ $\tau\rho\acute{\iota}\hat{\omega}$ (sc. $\beta\iota\beta\lambda\acute{\iota}\hat{\omega}$) $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\Phi\psi\sigma\iota\kappa\hat{\omega}\nu$, errore signi numerationis (γ pro β forma impropria scriptum)

- v. 1 οὐδὲ cod. Olympiodori, DK: $o\breve{u}\tau\epsilon$ codd. Ammonii, cod. Olympiodori in marg.int.
 οὐδὲ γὰρ ἀνδρομέη κεφαλή cod. Olympiodori: οὐ μὲν γὰρ βροτέη codd. Tzetzes
- v. 2 cf. B29.1
 $\nu\acute{\omega}\tau\omega\nu$ DK (cf. B29.1): $\nu\acute{\omega}\tau\omega\nu \gamma\epsilon$ codd. Ammonii
 $\acute{\alpha}\acute{\iota}\sigma\sigma\omega\tau\omega\nu$ DK (cf. B29.1; B100.7 $\kappa\alpha\acute{\iota}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\tau\omega\nu$): $\acute{\alpha}\acute{\iota}\sigma\sigma\omega\sigma\iota\nu$ codd. Ammonii
- v. 3 πόδες codd. Ammonii, DK: $\chi\acute{e}\rho\epsilon\varsigma$ cod. Olympiodori (in marg. inf.)
 $\lambda\alpha\chi\acute{n}\hat{\iota}\epsilon\eta\tau\omega\nu$ codd. Ammonii, cod. Olympiodori in m.i., DK: $\gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\hat{\iota}\epsilon\eta\tau\omega\nu$
 B29.1

* * *

80

B 27^a

οὐ στάσις οὐδέ τε δῆρις ἀναίσιμος ἐν μελέεσσιν.

ἐν μελέεσσιν: cf. B30.1 ἐνὶ μμελέεσσιν.

* * *

[Phase of Ascending *Nēîkos*]

81

B 30

αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ μέγα *Nēîkos* ἐνὶμμελέεσσιν ἔθρέφθη
ἐς τιμᾶς τ' ἀνόρουσε τελειομένοιο χρόνοι,
ὅς σφιν ἀμοιβαῖος πλατέος παρ' ἐλήλαται ὥρκου...

In aetate Contentionis crescentis teste Simplicio (In Phys. 1184.12: λέγει δὲ καὶ ταῦτα Ἐμπεδοκλῆς ἐπὶ τῆς τοῦ *Nēîkos* ἐπικρατείας “αὐτὰρ.... ὥρκου”.

- v. 1 αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ codd. Simplicii, DK, Bollack: ἀλλ' ὅτε δὴ codd. Aristotelis ἔθρέφθη: codd. Aristotelis, Syrianus, DK: ἔρεφθη codd. Simplicii, Bollack
- v. 3 ὃς σφιν codd. Aristotelis, Syrianus, DK: ὃ σφιν cod A^b Aristotelis, cod. Simplicii: ὄφις alter cod. Simplicii: ὃς φιν Bollack (cf. B22.3)
παρ' ἐλήλαται Sturz, DK: *παρελήλαται* cod Ab Aristotelis, codd. Simplicii, Syrianus, Bollack: *παρελήλατο* codd. Π Aristotelis
πλατέος... ὥρκου: cf. B115.2 *πλατέεσσι ... ὥρκοις*

* * *

82

B 31

πάντα γὰρ ἔξείης πελεμίζετο γυῆα θεοῖ.

Post B27 teste Simplicio (In Phys. 1184.2 post B27.4: ἀρξαμένου δὲ πάλιν τοῦ *Nēîkos* ἐπικρατεῖν τότε παλιν κίνησις ἐν τῷ Σφαίρῳ γίνεται)

* * *

[The Great Law - ὅμοιον ὁμοίω]

83

B 37

αὕξει δὲ χθῶν μὲν σφέτερον δέμας, αἰθέρα δ' αἰθήρ.

δέμας dui codd. Aristotelis, DK, Inwood: γένος ceteri, Bollack

* * *

84

B 90

ώς γλυκὺ μὲν γλυκὺ μάρπτε, πικρὸν δ' ἐπὶ πικρὸν ὄρουσεν,
δέξν τ' ἐπ' δέξν, <ἀτὰ>ρ γ' ἀλυροῦ ἀλυρὸν λάβε<τ' αῦ>τως.

v. 2 ἀλυροῦ ἀλυρὸν e.g. scripsi (cf. ἄλς B56). Sensus ἀλμυροῦ, salsi, oportet: ratio de digestione nutritione que est, unde requiretur nomen quartae qualitatis gustatus. Fortasse δαλερὸν... δαλερῷ retinendum est eadem significacione; cf. Hesychius s.v. δαλάγχαν· θάλασσαν; et s.v. ζαλείης· πόλις. καὶ θάλασσα; cf. sals, sale latinum. Quod etiam explicaret per errorem interpretationis Macrobi, θερμὸν... θερμῷ.

δέξν τ' ἐπ' δέξν, <ἀτὰ>ρ γ' ἀλυροῦ ἀλυρὸν λάβετ <αῦ>τως proposui (cf. Bernardakis): δέξν δ' ἐπ' δέξν δαλερὸν δαλεροῦ λαβέτως vel λαβέτω codd. Plutarchi: δέξν δ' ἐπ' δέξν, <ἀτὰρ> μαλερὸν μαλεροῦ λαβέ<τ' αῦ>τως dubitanter cooniecit Bernardakis: δέξν δ' ἐπ' δέξν ἔβη, ἀλερὸν δ' ἀλεροῦ λάβετ' ὥκρα, Paton: δέξν δ' ἐπ' δέξν ἔβη, ἀλερὸν δ' ἐποχεύεθ' ἀληρῷ Bollack: δέξν δ' ἐπ' δέξν ἔβη, θερμὸν δ' ἐποχεύετο θερμῷ codd. Macrobi: δέξν δ' ἐπ' δέξν ἔβη, δαερὸν δ' ἐποχεῖτο δαηρῷ Diels, DK (cf. Hesychius s.vv. δαερόν, δαηρόν; Etymologicum Magnum 244.42 s.v. δαηρόν): δέξν δ' ἐπ' δέξν ἔβη, δαερὸν ἐποχεύετο δαερῷ Maas.

[Formation of the World in the Phase of Ascending Strife]

85

B 38

εὶ δ' ἄγε τοι λέξω πρῶθ' <ώς λάβεθ'> ἥλιος ἀρχήν,
ἐκ τ' ὅν δῆλ' ἐγένοντο τὰ νῦν ἐσορῶμεν ἅπειρα,
γαῖά τε καὶ πόντος πολυκύμων ἡδ' ὑγρὸς ἀήρ
Τιτᾶν ἡδ' αἰθὴρ σφίγγων περὶ κύκλον ἄπαντα.

v. 1 πρῶθ' <ώς λάβεθ'> ἥλιος ἀρχήν scripsi: πρῶθ' ἥλιον ἀρχήν cod. Clementis: πρῶθ' + ἥλιον ἀρχήν + DK: πρῶθ' ἥλικά τ' ἀρχήν Diels: πρῶθ' <ἔξ ὅν> ἥλιος ἀρχήν Wright, Inwood

- v. 2 ἐκ τ' ὥν, scripsi: ἐξ ὥν cod. Clementis, DK: τἀλλα τε Wright, Inwood
 δῆλον: Weil (cf. B23.10), DK: δὴ cod. Clementis, Bollack: δὴ vel δῆ <ρ>
 Friedländer (cf. Hesiodus, *Theog.*, 108-11)
 ἐσορῶμεν ἄπειρα scripsi (cf. ἀσπετα B23.10; μυρία B36.16): ἐσορώμενα
 πάντα cod. Bollack: ἐσωρῶμεν ἄπαντα Gomperz, DK

* * *

[It so happened at the beginning]

86

B 53

(δ ἀγέρο)

οὕτω γὰρ συνέκυρσε θέων τοτέ, πολλάκι δ' ἄλλως.

In doctrinam creationis mundi, teste Aristotele, Phys. B4196a19 sqq.: ...λέγει
 γοῦν ἐν τῇ κοσμοποιᾷ ὡς “οὕτω... ἄλλως”.

* * *

[Sequence of Cosmogony]

87

B 54

αιθήρ <δ' αὐ> μακρῆισι κατὰ χθόνα δύετο ρίζαις.

αιθήρ <δ' αὐ> Diels, DK: <ἀλλά> αἰθήρ Karsten: αἰθήρ extra versum reiecit
 Joachim

* * *

[Criticism of previous Cosmologies, in particular Xenophanes']

88

B 39

*εἴπερ ἀπείρονα γῆς τε βάθη καὶ δαψιλὸς αἰθήρ,
ώς διὰ πολλῶν δὴ γλώσσας ἐλθόντα ματάλως
ἐκκέχυται στομάτων ὀλίγον τοῦ παντὸς ἴδοντων...*

v. 3 cf. B2.5

* * *

[Continuation of Cosmogony]

89

B 51

*(πῦρ)
καρπαλίμως δ' ἀνόπαιον...*

* * *

90

B 52

πολλὰ δὲ νερθ(ε) οὐδεος πυρὰ καίεται.

* * *

91

B 40

"Ηλιος ὁξυβελής ηδ' ἵλαειρα Σελήνη.

ηδ' <αὖ> ἵλαειρα Xylander: ή δὲ λάιρα codd. Plutarchi

* * *

92

B 41

(ἥλιος)

ἀλλ’ ὁ μὲν ἀλισθεὶς μέγαν οὐρανὸν ἀμφιπολεύει.

ἀλλ’ ὁ μὲν ἀλισθεὶς Etymologicon Magnum, DK: ἀλλ’ ὁ μὲν ἀλεῖσθαι cod.
Baroccianus 50: οὗνεκ’ ἀναλισθεὶς codd. Macrobius
μέγαν Etymologicon Gudianum I, 22, codd. Macrobius, Barocc., codd. Suda:
μέσον Et.M., Et.Gud. I 47

* * *

93

B 44

(ἥλιος)

ἀνταυγεῖ πρὸς Ὀλυμπον ἀταρβήτοισι προσώποις.

* * *

94

B 42

(ἡ σελήνη)

ἀπεστέγασεν δέ οἱ αὐγάς,
ἔστ’ ἀν τῇ καθύπερθεν, ἀπεσκνίφωσε δὲ γαίης
τόσσον ὅσον τ’ εὑρος γλαυκώπιδος ἔπλετο μήνης.

-
- v. 1 ἀπεστέγασεν Diels, DK: ἀπεσκεύασεν codd. Plutarchi: ἀπεσκέδασεν
Xylander, Bollack: ἀπεσκίασεν Bergk: ἀπεσκέπασεν Wenskus
οἱ sc. soli
- v. 2 ἔστ’ ἀν τῇ Diels, DK, Inwood: ἔστε αὖταν codd.: ἐσ γαῖαν Xylander
τῇ sc.sol. Fortasse ὑπένερθε pro καθύπερθε scribendum est, eidem subiecto
intelligendo verbis ἀπεστέγασεν, τῇ et ἀπεσκήφωσε (sc. luna)

* * *

95

B 47

ἀθρεῖ μὲν γὰρ ἄνακτος ἐναντίον ἀγέα κύκλον.

* * *

96

B 43

ώσ αὐγὴ τύψασα σεληναίης κύκλον εὺρύν...

* * *

97

B 45

κυκλοτερὲς περὶ γαῖαν ἐλίσσεται ἀλλότριον φῶς.

* * *

98

B 46

ἄρματος ώσ περὶ χνοίη ἐλίσσεται ή τε παρ' ἄκραν
 <ψαύουσ' ἄξονα>...

v. 1 ώσ περὶ χνοίη ἐλίσσεται Panzerbieter, DK (cf. Parmenides 28B1.6: ἄξων
 ἐν χνοίησιν): ὥσπερ ἵχνος ἀνελίσσεται codd. Plutarchi
 παρ' ἄκραν: περὶ ἄκραν codd.: παρ' ἄκρην Diels, DK.

v. 2 <ψαύουσ' ἄξονα> proposui (v. Plutarchus, *de facie in orbe lunae*, 9 p. 925B:
 τῆς δὲ γῆς τρόπον τινὰ ψαύει καὶ περιφερομένη πλησίον “ἄρματος
 ὥσπερ etc”; cf. Parmenides loc.cit.): νύσσαν vel γαῖαν ἐλαυνομένη Diels

* * *

99

B 48

νύκτα δὲ γαῖα τίθησιν ὑφισταμένη φαέεσσι
〈ἡελίου〉.

〈ἡελίου〉 Kranz supplevit

* * *

100

B 49

νυκτὸς ἐρημαίης ἀλαώπιδος...

ἐρημαίης codd. Plutarchi, DK: ἐρεμναίης Nauck
ἀλαώπιδος Xylander (cf. Hesychius s.v. ἀλαῶπιν): ἀγλαώπιδος codd.

* * *

101

B 94

et niger in fundo fluvii color exstat ab umbra,
atque cavernosis itidem spectatur in antris.

* * *

102

B 55

...γῆς ἰδρῶτα θάλασσαν.

* * *

103

B 56

ἄλσ ἐπάγη ρίπησιν ἐωσμένος ἡελόιο.

* * *

104

B 50

Iris δ' ἐκ πελάγους ἀνεμον φέρει ἢ μέγαν ὅμβρον.

* * *

[Zoogony in the Phase of Ascending *Nēkōs*]

105

B 71

εἰ δέ τί σοι περὶ τῶνδε λιπόξυλος ἔπλετο πίστις,
 πῶς ὕδατος γαίης τε καὶ αἰθέρος ἡελίου τε
 κιρναμένων εἴδη τε γενούτα χροῦται τε θυητῶν
 τόσσ', ὅσα νῦν γεγάσι συναρμοσθέντ' Ἀφροδίτηι...

v. 1 λιπόξυλος: cf. B21.2

v. 2 χροῖα: cf. 58B43: τὸ γὰρ χρῶμα ἢ ἐν τῷ πέρατι ἐστιν ἢ πέρας. διὸ καὶ οἱ
Πυθαγόρειοι τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν χροιὰν ἐκάλουν.

Cf. 58B26: ...λέγουσιν (sc. οἱ *Πυθαγόρειοι*) ὡς τοῦ ἐνὸς συσταθέντος...
 εἴτ' ἐκ χροιᾶς... Cf. Plato, *Meno*, 75b-76d.

v. 4 τόσσ' ὅσα Karsten, DK: τοίᾳ ὅσα codd. Simplicii: τοῖοι οἵα Wilamowitz:
 τοῖοι ὅσα Bollack

* * *

106

B 151

ζείδωρος... Ἀφροδίτη

* * *

107

B 73

ώς δὲ τότε χθόνα *Kύπρις*, ἐπεί τ' ἐδίηνεν ἐν ὅμβρῳ,
εἴδεα ποιπνύουσα θιῷι πυρὶ δῶκε κρατῦναι...

Breve post B71 (= No. 105) teste Simplicio (*In de Caelo* 530.5)

v. 2 εἴδεα ποιπνύουσα F Simplicii, DK (cf. B22.7; 71.3): εἰ δὲ ἀποπνοιοῦσα
Simpl.: ἴδεα ποιπνύουσα Diels: αἱθέρ' ἐπιπνείουσα Stein

* * *

[Plants first Organic Beings appearing near the Beginning
of World-ordering]

108

B 154

(οὕπω δ' ἥλιος ἴδρυτο ἀπλανῆ καὶ βέβαιον ἔχων δρόμον)
ἡῶ

καὶ δύσιν ἔκρινεν, περὶ δ' ἥγαγεν αὐθίς ὀπίσσω
καρποφόροισιν ἐπιστέψας καλυκοστεφάνοισιν
“Ωραις, γῆ δ' ὕβριστο.

Cf. A70

* * *

[Structure of Organic Beings]

109

B 82

*ταύτὰ τρίχες καὶ φύλλα καὶ οἰωνῶν πτερά πυκνά
καὶ φλονίδες γίγνονται ἐπὶ στιβαροῖσι μέλεσσιν.*

v. 2 φλονίδες Karsten (cf. Hesychius s.v. φλονίδες· λεπίδες), Bollack: λεπίδες
codd. Aristotelis, DK: λοπίδες vel λωπίδες alii codd. Aristotelis: φολιδονί-
δες codd. Olympiodori

* * *

110

B 97

... ράχιν ...

Aristoteles, *de part.anim.* A, 1, p. 640a18 sqq.: ...Ἐμπεδοκλῆς... εἱρηκε λέγων
ὑπάρχειν πολλὰ τοῖς ζῷοις διὰ τὸ συμβῆναι οὔτως ἐν τῇ γενέσει, οἷον καὶ τὴν
ράχιν τοιαύτην ἔχειν ὅτι στραφέντος καταχθῆναι συνέβη.

* * *

111

Fr. 152 Wright

*τῶν γὰρ ὅσα ρίζαις μὲν ἐπασσυτέραι[σιν] ἔνερθε
μανοτέροις [δ' ὅ]ρπ[ηξ]ιν ὑπέστη τηλεθ[άοντα].*

Libro secundo *Kaθαρμῶν* adscripsit Herodianus fragmentum in *Kaθολικὴ προσῳδία*: ἐν β' *καθαρμῶν*. Quod confirmat *Περὶ Φύσεως* et *Kaθαρμοί* idem opus esse, cuius titulus prooemii ad denotandum totum extendit. Similiter Theon Smyrnaeus referens ad *Kaθαρμούς* intellexit libros Physicorum. B153a: τὸ γοῦν βρέφος δοκεῖ τελειοῦσθαι ἐν ἐπτὰ ἑβδομάσιν, ὡς Ἐμπεδοκλῆς αἰνίττεται ἐν τοῖς *Kaθαρμοῖς*.

* * *

112

B 75

τῶν δ' ὅσ' ἔσω μὲν πυκνά, τὰ δ' ἔκτοθι μανὰ πέπηγε,
Κύπριδος ἐν παλάμησι πλάδης τοιῆσδε τυχόντα...

Post B73 in Simplicii *Comm. In de Caelo* (530.8)v. 2 *Κύπριδος ἐν παλάμησι:* cf. B 95

* * *

113

b+B 76

τοῦτο μὲν ἐν κόγχαισι θαλασσονόμοις βαρυνώτοις	b0	B76.1
[ἡδ' ἐν πετραιόισι καὶ]		
[ἴνθ' ὄψει] χθόνα χρωτὸ[ς ν] πέ[ρ]τατα ναιετάουσαν.]	b2	B76.3
[θώρηξ δ' αὖ] τε κραταίν[ώ]των α[
[ναὶ μὴν κηρύ]κων γε λιθορίνων χ[ελύων τε]	b4	B76.2
[ὅστρακα καὶ] μελίαι κεραῶν ἐλά[φων ὀριπλάγκτων.		
[ἀλλ' οὐκ ἀν τελέσαιμ]ι λέγων σύμ[παντα		

b0 θαλασσονόμοις: θαλασσονόμων Diels, DK

b4 γε Pap. MP: τε codd. Plutarchi, DK, Janko

b5 ὁστρακα καὶ] ετ ὀριπλάγκτων supplevit Janko

b6 post σύμπαντα supplevit γένεθλα Janko, qui et fragmentum ε huc collocavit

* * *

114

B 83

αὐτὰρ ἔχίνοις
δξυβελεῖς χαῖται νώτοις ἐπιπεφρίκασι.

Plutarchus, *de fort.* 3 p. 98D: τὰ μὲν γὰρ ὥπλισται κέρασι καὶ ὀδοῦσι καὶ κέντροις, αὐτὰρ ἔχίνοις etc.

v. 2 χαῖται Vulcobius, DK: καὶ τε vel δέ τε codd. Plutarchi

* * *

[Plants. Oviparous Procreation. Fertility. Fishes]

115

B 79

οῦτω δ' ὀιωτοκεῖ μακρὰ δένδρεα πρῶτον ἐλαίας...

μακρὰ δένδρεα: cf. B72 δένδρεα μακρά

* * *

116

B 80

οῦνεκεν ὄψιγονοί τε σίδαι καὶ ὑπέρφλοια μῆλα

ὑπέρφλοια: ...ὑπέρφλοια λελέχθαι τὰ μῆλα διὰ τὴν ἀκμήν· τὸ γὰρ ἄγαν ἀκμάζειν καὶ τεθλέναι φλοίειν ὑπὸ τῶν ποιητῶν λέγεσθαι (cf. Διόνυσος Φλοῖος), Plutarchus, *Quaest. Conv.*, V 8, 2 p. 683D.

* * *

117

B 72

πῶς καὶ δένδρεα μακρὰ καὶ εἰνάλιοι καμασῆνες...

δένδρεα μακρά: cf. B79 μακρά δένδρεα

* * *

118

B 74

φύλον ἄμουσον ἄγουσα πολυσπερέων καμασήνων.

Plutarcus, *Quaest. Conv.* V 10, 4 p. 685F: αὐτῶν δὲ τῶν ζῷων οὐδὲν ἂν χερ-στῶν ἢ πτηνὸν εἴπειν ἔχοις οὕτω γόνιμον ὡς πάντα τὰ θαλάττια· πρὸς δὲ καὶ πεποίηκεν ὁ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς...

ἄγουσα: sc. Venus probabiliter.

* * *

[Original Terrigenous Procreation of Animals / Humans]

119

B 62

νῦν δ' ἄγ', ὅπως ἀνδρῶν τε πολυκλαύτων τε γυναικῶν
 ἐννυχίους ὄρπηκας ἀνήγαγε κρινόμενον πῦρ,
 τῶνδε κλύ': οὐ γάρ μῆθος ἀπόσκοπος οὐδὲ ἀδαήμων.
 οὐλοφυεῖς μὲν πρῶτα τύποι χθονὸς ἔξανέτελλον,
 ἀμφοτέρων ὕδατός τε καὶ εἴδεος αἰσαν ἔχοντες
 τοὺς μὲν πῦρ ἀνέπεμπε θέλον πρὸς ὄμοιον ἱκέσθαι,
 οὕτε τί πω μελέων ἐρατὸν δέμας ἐμφαίνοντας
 οὕτ' ἐνοπὴν οἶον τ' ἐπιχώριον ἀνδράσι γυῖον.

In librum secundum teste Simplicio (*in Phys.* 381.29): ἐν τῷ δευτέρῳ τῶν
 Φυσικῶν πρὸ τῆς τῶν ἀνδρείων καὶ γυναικείων σωμάτων διαρθρώσεως.

v. 2 ἐννυχίους: ἐμμυνχίους Panzerbieter

v. 5 εἴδεος codd. Simplicii, DK (cf. B21.4): ἴδεος Diels

v. 8 οἶον τ' Diels, DK: οἴα τ' cod. Simplicii: οὕτ' cod. alter Simplicii: οὕτ' οὖν Wilamowitz: οὕτη τ' Bollack

γυῖον Stein, Diels, DK: γύων codd. Simplicii: γυίων Bollack: γῆραν editio Aldina

* * *

[Viviparous Procreation. Sexes]

120

B 63

ἀλλὰ διέσπασται μελέων φύσις· ἡ μὲν ἐν ἀνδρός...

* * *

121

B 64

τῶι δ' ἐπὶ καὶ πόθος εἶσι δι' ὄψιος ἀμμιμνήσκων.

(rememberance of the unity of sexes in the οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι)

δι' ὄψιος ἀμμιμνήσκων Wytttenbach, Diels, Kranz: διαπέψεως ἀμμίσγων

codd. Plutarchi: διαμπερέως ἀμμίσγων Bollack: δὶ' ἄψιος αἷμ' ἀναμίσγων
Ellis: δὲ' ὄψιος ἀμμίγεσθαι Dyer, Inwood.

* * *

122

B 66

σχιστοὺς λειμῶνας... Ἀφροδίτης.

λειμῶνας codd. Scholiorum in Euripidem, DK: λιμῶνας alius cod.: λιμένας
alius cod., Swartz (cf. B98.3)

* * *

123

B 153

(*σημαίνει δὲ καὶ κοιλίαν ως παρ' Ἐμπεδοκλεῖ*)
βαυβώ

Cf. Kern OF 52; 395 Bernabé; v.N.A. Georgopoulos, G.A. Vagenakis, A.L. Pierris, "Baubo: a Case of Ambiguous Genitalia in the Eleusinian Mysteries" in *Hormones, International Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 2003, vol. 2, No. 1 pp. 72-4.

* * *

124

B 65

ἐν δ' ἔχύθη καθαροῖσι· τὰ μὲν τελέθουσι γυναικες
ψύχεος ἀντιάσαντα <τὰ δ' ἐμπαλιν ἅρρενα θερμοῦ>.

v. 1 *καθαροῖσι: ταλάροισι* Scaliger: *καμάραισι* Weil

v. 2 <*τὰ δ'... θερμοῦ*> e.g. supplevit Diels

* * *

125

B 67

ἐν γὰρ θερμοτέρωι τοκὰς ἄρρενος ἔπλετο γαστήρ
καὶ μέλανες διὰ τοῦτο καὶ ἀδρομελέστεροι ἄνδρες
καὶ λαχνήντες μᾶλλον.

-
- v. 1 τοκὰς ἄρρενος ἔπλετο γαστήρ Diels, DK: τὸ κατ' ἄρρενα ἔπλετο γαίης
codd. Galeni, Bollack, Inwood: τὸ κατ' ἄρρενα ἔπλετο γαστρός Sturz:
τοκὰς ἄρρενος ἔπλετο γαῖα Deichgrüber, Longrigg.
v. 2 ἀδρομελέστεροι Karsten, DK, Inwood: ἀνδρωδέστεροι codd., Bollack

* * *

126

B 69

(γυναικες)
δίγονοι.

* * *

127

B 68

μηνὸς ἐν ὁγδοάτου δεκάτῃ πύον ἔπλετο λευκόν.
(sc. τὸ γάλα)

* * *

128

B 70

ἀμνίον.

Rufus Ephesius, *de nom. part. hom.* 229 p. 166.11 Daremburg: τὸ δὲ βρέφος
περιέχεται χιτῶσι, τῷ μὲν λεπτῷ καὶ μαλακῷ ἀμνίον αὐτὸν Ἐμπεδοκλῆς
καλεῖ.



b) Phase of ascending *Φιλία* Nos. 129-156

[Beginning of Intermixture]

129

B 35

αὐτάρ ἐγὼ παλίνορσος ἐλεύσομαι ἐς πόρον ὕμνων,
τὸν πρότερον κατέλεξα, λόγου λόγον ἔξοχετεύων,
κεῖνον· ἐπεὶ Νέκος μὲν ἐνέρτατον ἵκετο βένθος
δίνησ, ἐν δὲ μέσηι Φιλότης στροφάλιγγι γένηται,
ἐν τῇ δὴ τάδε πάντα συνέρχεται ἐν μόνον εἶναι,
οὐκ ἄφαρ, ἀλλὰ θελημὰ συνιστάμεν ἄλλοθεν ἄλλα.
τῶν δέ τε μισγομένων χεῖτ' ἔθνεα μυρία θνητῶν,
πολλὰ δ' ἄμεικτ' ἔστηκε κεραιομένοισιν ἐναλλάξ,
ὅσσος' ἔτι Νέκος ἔρυκε μετάρσιον· οὐ γὰρ ἀμεμφέως
τῶν πᾶν ἔξέστηκεν ἐπ' ἔσχατα τέρματα κύκλου,
ἀλλὰ τὰ μέν τ' ἐνέμιμνε μελέων τὰ δέ τ' ἔξεβεβήκει.
ὅσσον δ' αἰὲν ὑπεκπροθέοι, τόσον αἰὲν ἐπήρει
ἡ πιόφρων Φιλότητος ἀμεμφέος ἀμβροτος ὄρμη·
αἴψα δὲ θνήτ' ἐφύοντο, τὰ πρὸν μάθον ἀθάνατ' εἶναι,
ζωρά τε τὰ πρὸν ἄκρητα διαλλάξαντα κελεύθους.
Τῶν δέ τε μισγομένων χεῖτ' ἔθνεα μυρία θνητῶν,
παντοίας ἰδέησιν ἀρηρότα, θαῦμα ἰδέσθαι.

Ante B98 teste Simplicio (*In Phys.* 32.11)

Cf. B21

vv. 1-2 αὐτάρ... πρότερον κατέλεξα: v. a(ii)18-19 Martin-Primavesi
 ~ B35.3-4.

v. 2 λόγου Bergk, DK: λόγω codd. Simplicii

- ἐξοχετεύων cod., DK, Bollack: ἐπιχετεύων cod.: ἐποχετεύων
Brandis, Karsten
- v. 5 ἐν τῇ δὴ codd., DK. Bollack: ἐν τῇ δὲ cod.: ἐνθ’ ὥδη Bergk: ἐν τῷ
δὴ Kranz
- v. 10 τῶν Diels, DK: τὸν vel πῶν vel οὐπῶν codd. Simplicii: πῷ Bollack
- v. 13 ὄρμή: cf. a(ii) 10 Martin-Primavesi
- v. 15 ζωρά τε τὰ πρὶν ἄκρητα codd. Athenaei, Plutarchi, DK, Bollack:
ζωρά τε τὰ πρὶν ἄκριτα cod. Simplicii: ζῶά τε πρὶν κέκρητο (sic)
codd. Aristotelis: ζωρά τε πρὶν τὰ κέκρητο Bergk: ζωρά θ' ἢ πρὶν
κέκρητο Gomperz
- vv. 16-17: cf. B21.10-12; B23.6-8

* * *

130

B 36

τῶν δὲ συνερχομένων ἐξ ἔσχατον ἵστατο Νεῖκος.

* * *

[Monstrous Formations]**131**

B 57

ἥι πολλαὶ μὲν κόρσαι ἀναύχενες ἐβλάστησαν,
γυμνοὶ δ’ ἐπλάζοντο βραχίονες εὔνιδες ὕμων,
ὅμματά τ’ οἱ(α) ἐπλανᾶτο πενητεύοντα μετώπων.

In aetate Amicitiae crescentis, teste Aristotele (*de caelo* Γ2, 300b25 sqq.): ...καθά-
περ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς φησι γίνεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος (sc. ἐπικρατούσης Φιλό-
τητος). λέγει γάρ: “πολλαὶ... ἐβλάστησαν”.

* * *

132

B 58

μουνομελῆ... τὰ γυῖα... ἐπλανᾶτο

Simplicius *In de caelo* 587.18 (post B35.13): *ἐν ταύτῃ οὖν τῇ καταστάσει* (Amicitiae crescentis) *μουνομελῆ* *ἴτι τὰ γυῖα ἀπὸ τῆς τοῦ Νείκους διακρίσεως* *ὄντα ἐπλανᾶτο τῆς πρὸς ἄλληλα μίξεως ἐφιέμενα.*

μουνομελῆ: cf. οὐλοφυεῖς τύποι B62.4; οὐλομελές Parmenides, 28B8.4

* * *

133

B 60

εἰλίποδ' ἀκριτόχειρα

* * *

134

B 61

πολλὰ μὲν ἀμφιπρόσωπα καὶ ἀμφίστερνα φύεσθαι,
βουγενῆ ἀνδρόπρωιρα, τὰ δ' ἔμπαλιν ἔξανατέλλειν
ἀνδροφυῆ βούκρανα, μεμειγμένα τῇ μὲν ἀπ' ἀνδρῶν
τῇ δὲ γυναικοφυῆ σκιεροῖς ἡσκημένα γυίοις.

In aetate Amicitiae crescentis, teste Simplicio (*In Phys.* 371.33): *ώσπερ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς κατὰ τὴν τῆς Φιλίας ἀρχήν φησι γενέσθαι ως ἔτυχε μέρη πρῶτον τῶν ζώων οίνον κεφαλὰς καὶ χεῖρας καὶ πόδας, ἔπειτα συνιέναι ταῦτα “βουγενῆ... ἔξανατέλλειν”* etc.

- v. 1 ἀμφίστερνα: cf. Hesychius s.v. ἀμφίστερνον· δεινήν (Bollack)
ἀμφίστερνα φύεσθαι: ἀμφίστερν' ἐφύοντο Karsten
- v. 2 ἀνδρόπρωρα: cf. Hesychius s.vv. ἀνδρόπρωρον et ἀντίπρωρα· ἀνδροπρόσωπον (Bollack)
ἔξανατέλλειν codd. Simplicii: ἔξανατείνειν codd. Aeliani (teste Diels):
ἔξανέτελλον (cf. B62.4) Karsten
- v. 3 ἀπ' Karsten, Diels, DK: ὑπ' codd. Aeliani, Bollack

- v. 4 σκιεροῖς codd. Aeliani, DK: στείροις vel σκιροῖς (cf. Lucretius V 855)
 Diels: θιμβροῖς Hercher: χλιεροῖς Karsten: στιβαροῖς Bergk: διερεῖς
 Panzerbieter

* * *

[Combinations]

135

B 59

αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ κατὰ μεῖζον ἐμίσγετο δαίμονι δαίμων,
 ταῦτά τε συμπίπτεσκον, ὅπῃ συνέκυρσεν ἔκαστα,
 ἀλλὰ τε πρὸς τοῦς πολλὰ διηνεκῆ ἔξεγένοντο.

Post B58, in aetate Amicitiae crescentis, teste Simplicio (*In de caelo* 587.20):

(post B58) “αὐτὰρ ἐπεί, φησί, κατὰ.... δαίμων”, ὅτε τοῦ Νείκους ἐπεκράτει λοιπὸν ἡ Φιλότης, “ταῦτά τε... ἔξεγένοντο”. ἐπὶ τῆς Φιλότητος οὖν ὁ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς ἐκεῦνα εἶπεν, οὐχ ὡς ἐπικρατούσης ἥδη τῆς Φιλότητος, ἀλλ’ ὡς μελλούσης ἐπικρατεῖν etc.

v. 2 ἔκαστα: codd. Simplicii *In de caelo*: ἄπαντα codd. Simplicii *In physicorum*

v. 3 διηνεκῆ: διηνεκές Peyron, Karsten (cf. B17.35)

* * *

[Emanations]

136

B 89

γνούς, ὅτι πάντων εἰσὶν ἀπορροαί, ὅσσ' ἐγένοντο...

γνοὺς: γνῶθι Wakefield (cf. B4.3)

* * *

137

B 109a

...ἢ ὡς Ἐμπεδοκλῆς ἀπορροὰς φαίη ἀν ἀπιέναι ἀπὸ ἐκάστου τῶν κατοπτριζομένων καὶ τ[οῖς ὅμμασιν ὥσ]περ ἐούσας [εἰκόνας ἐναρμόζεσθαι].

Diels supplevit

* * *

[Organic tissues, parts and organs]
[Eyes and Vision]

138

B 88

... μία γίγνεται ἀμφοτέρων ὄψ.

* * *

139

B 85

ἡ δὲ φλὸξ ἵλαειρα μινυνθαδίης τύχε γαίης

ἡ δὲ DK (cf. B96.1; 98.1): ἡδὲ cod. Simplicii: η δὴ cod. Simpl., Bollack: ἡδη cod. Simpl.: ηδὲ Wilamowitz

* * *

140

B 86

ἔξ ὅν ὅμματ' ἔπηξεν ἀτειρέα δι' Ἀφροδίτη.

* * *

141

B 87

γόμφοις ἀσκήσασα καταστόργοις Ἀφροδίτη.

Breve post B86, teste Simplicio (*In de Caelo*, 529.24): *καὶ μετ' ὀλίγον...*

* * *

142

B 84

ώς δ’ ὅτε τις πρόοδον νοέων ὥπλισσατο λύχνον
 χειμερίην διὰ νύκτα, πυρὸς σέλας αἰθομένοιο,
 ἄψας παντοίων ἀνέμων λαμπτῆρας ἀμοργούς,
 οἵ τ’ ἀνέμων μὲν πνεῦμα διασκιδνᾶσιν ἀέντων,
 φῶς δ’ ἔξω διαθρῶσκον, ὅσον ταναώτερον ἦεν,
 λάμπεσκεν κατὰ βηλὸν ἀτειρέσιν ἀκτίνεσσιν·
 ὡς δὲ τότ’ ἐν μῆνιγξιν ἐεργμένον ὠγύγιον πῦρ
 λεπτῆσιν <τ’> ὁθόνησι λοχάζετο κύκλοπα κούρην,
 «αἱ» χοάνησι δίαντα τετράτο θεσπεσίησιν·
 αἱ δ’ ὕδατος μὲν βένθος ἀπέστεγον ἀμφιναέντος,
 πῦρ δ’ ἔξω διέσκον, ὅσον ταναώτερον ἦεν.

v. 1 πρόοδον codd. (enii πρόσοδον), DK: πρὸ ὄδὸν Bollack

v. 4 οἵ τ’ codd., DK: αἵτ’ codd., Bollack

v. 5 φῶς codd., DK: πῦρ codd., Bollack

post φῶς δ’ habet Paraphrasis: ἔξω διάνταται τρείατο θεσπεσίησεν ὁθόνησιν διαρθῶσκον, ex quo Blass versum restituit quem post versum 8 posuit.

v. 7 ἐεργμένον codd., DK: ἐελμένον alii codd. Bollack: ἐέρμενον alii codd.

v. 8 λεπτῆσιν: λεπτῆς εἰν Panzerbieter

<τ’> Diels, Inwood: <γ> Bollack

ὁθόνησι codd., DK: χθονίησι codd.: χοάνησι Paraphrasis

λοχάζετο codd., DK, Bollack: ἐχεύατο codd.: ἐχέατο unus cod.: λοχεύσατο Førster, Sedley

κύκλοπα: cf. κυκλοτερές B45; κύκλωπος... σελήνης Parmenides, 28B10.4

v. 10 ἀμφιναέντος: cf. *να(ι)γόσομαι* B111.8

v. 11 διύεσκον Paraphrasis, Diels, Ross, DK: *διαρθρώσκον* ήodd., Bollack

* * *

143

B 95

Κύπριδος, ... ἐν παλάμησιν ὅτε ξὺμ πρῶτ' ἐφύοντο.

Fortasse post B87, v. Simplicius, *In de caelo*, 529.26: *καὶ τὴν αἰτίαν λέγων τοῦ τοὺς μὲν ἐν ἡμέρᾳ τοὺς δὲ ἐν νυκτὶ κάλλιον ὄρâν* [cf. A86, I p. 301.37 sqq.], *Κύπριδος, φησίν, ἐν παλάμησιν* etc.

Κύπριδος ἐν παλάμησιν: cf. B75.2

* * *

[Ears and Hearing]

144

B 99

κώδων. Σάρκινος ὅζος.

* * *

[Respiration]

145

B 100

ὦδε δ' ἀναπνεῖ πάντα καὶ ἐκπνεῖ· πᾶσι λίφαυμοι σαρκῶν σύριγγες πύματον κατὰ σῶμα τέτανται, καὶ σφιν ἐπὶ στομίοις πυκιναῖς τέτρηνται ἄλοξιν ρινῶν ἔσχατα τέρθρα διαμπερές, ὥστε φόνον μέν κεύθειν, αἰθέρι δ' εὐπορίην διόδοισι τετμῆσθαι. ἔνθεν ἔπειθ' ὄπόταν μὲν ἀπαίξῃ τέρεν αἷμα, αἰθήρ παφλάζων καταίσσεται οἴδματι μάργωι,

εὗτε δ' ἀναθρώισκηι, πάλιν ἐκπνέει, — ὥσπερ ὅταν παῖς
 κλεψύδρηι παιζούσα διειπετέος χαλκοῖο,
 εὗτε μὲν αὐλοῦ πορθμὸν ἐπ' εὔειδεῖ χερὶ θεῖσα
 εἰς ὕδατος βάπτησι τέρεν δέμας ἀργυφέοιο,
 οὐδ' ἔτ' ἐσ ἄγγοσδ' ὅμβρος ἐσέρχεται, ἀλλά μιν εἴργει
 ἀέρος ὅγκος ἔσωθε πεσὼν ἐπὶ τρήματα πυκνά,
 εἰσόκ' ἀποστεγάσηι πυκνὸν ρόον· αὐτὰρ ἔπειτα
 πνεύματος ἐλλείποντος ἐσέρχεται αἴσιμον ὕδωρ.
 ὡς δ' αὕτως, ὅθ' ὕδωρ μὲν ἔχηι κατὰ βένθεα χαλκοῦ
 πορθμοῦ χωσθέντος βροτέωι χροῦ ἡδὲ πόροιο,
 αἱθήρ δ' ἐκτὸς ἔσω λειτημένος ὅμβρον ἐρύκει,
 ἀμφὶ πύλας ἡθμοῖο δυσηγέος ἄκρα κρατύνων,
 εἰσόκε χειρὶ μεθῆι, τότε δ' αὖ πάλιν, ἔμπαλιν ἢ πρίν,
 πνεύματος ἐμπίπτοντος ὑπεκθέει αἴσιμον ὕδωρ.
 ὡς δ' αὕτως τέρεν αἷμα κλαδασσόμενον διὰ γυίων
 ὅππότε μὲν παλίνορσον ἀπαίξειε μυχόνδε,
 αἱθέρος εὐθὺς ρένμα κατέρχεται οἴδματι θῦον,
 εὗτε δ' ἀναθρώισκηι, πάλιν ἐκπνέει ἵσον ὀπίσσω.

v. 12 οὐδ' ἔτ' ἐσ Diels: οὐδέτ' ἐσ vel οὐδ' ὅτι ἐσ codd.: οὐδ' ὅ γ' ἐσ Bekker: οὐδέ τις Bollack: οὐ τότ' ἐσ Stein: οὐδεὶς Wilamowitz, DK

v. 19 ἡθμοῖο: enii codd. Regenbogen, DK: ἡσθμοῖο boni codd., Bollack (cf. Hesychius s.v. εἰσθμός· εὔσοδος ὕδατος στενή)

v. 22 διὰ γυίων codd., Michael, DK: δι' ἀγυιῶν codd., Bollack

v. 23 ἀπαίξειε Stein, DK: ἐπαίξειε codd., Karsten, Bollack: ἐπάξειεν enii codd.

* * *

[Nose and smelling]

146

B 101

κέρματα θηρείων μελέων μυκτῆρσιν ἐρευνῶν,
 <ζώονθ> ὅσσ' ἀπέλειπε ποδῶν ἀπαλῇ περὶ ποίηι...

v. 2 <ζώονθ> Diels, DK: <δσμᾶθ> Pearson

ὅσσον' Nauck: ως vel ὡς codd. Alexandri
 <ζώωνθ>ὅσσον': <τὰ?>... Bollack

* * *

147

B 102

ῳδε μὲν οὖν πνοιῆς τε λελόγχασι πάντα καὶ ὀσμῶν.

* * *

[Awareness, Understanding, Thinking]

148

B 103

τῇδε μὲν οὖν ιότητι Τύχης πεφρόνηκεν ἄπαντα.

Cf. B107; B110.10

* * *

149

B 104

καὶ καθ' ὅσον μὲν ἀραιότατα ξυνέκυρσε πεσόντα...

Breve post B103 teste Simplicio (*In Phys.* 331.13): καὶ μετ' ὀλίγον etc.

Cf. Theophrastus, *De sensu* (§11, DK I p. 302.25 sqq.): ὅσοις μὲν οὖν ἵσα καὶ παραπλήσια μέμεικται (sc. τὰ στοιχεῖα ἐν τῷ αἷματι) καὶ μὴ διὰ πολλοῦ μηδ' αὐτὸν μικρὰ μηδ' ὑπερβάλλοντα τῷ μεγέθει, τούτους φρονιμωτάτους εἶναι καὶ κατὰ τὰς αἰσθήσεις ἀκριβεστάτους, κατὰ λόγον δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἐγγυτάτω τούτων, ὅσοις δ' ἐναντίως, ἀφρονεστάτους. καὶ ὧν μὲν μανὰ καὶ ἀραιὰ κεῖται τὰ στοιχεῖα, νωθροὺς καὶ ἐπιπόνους· ὧν δὲ πυκνὰ καὶ κατὰ μικρὰ τεθραυσμένα, τοὺς δὲ τοιούτους ὀξεῖς φερομένους καὶ πολλὰ ἐπιβαλλομένους ὀλίγα ἐπιτελεῖν διὰ τὴν ὀξύτητα τῆς τοῦ αἵματος φορᾶς· οἷς δὲ καθ' ἔν τι μόριον ἡ μέση κρᾶσίς ἔστι, ταύτη σοφοὺς ἔκάστους εἶναι· διὸ τοὺς μὲν ρήτορας ἀγαθούς,

τοὺς δὲ τεχνίτας, ὡς τοῖς μὲν ἐν ταῖς χερσὶ, τοῖς δὲ ἐν τῇ γλώττῃ τὴν κράσιν οὖσαν· ὄμοιός δ’ ἔχειν καὶ κατὰ τὰς ἄλλας δυνάμεις.

* * *

[“Ομοιον ὄμοιω in Sensation, Perception, Understanding]

150

B 109

γαίηι μὲν γάρ γαῖαν ὀπώπαμεν, ὕδατι δ’ ὕδωρ,
αιθέρι δ’ αἰθέρα δῖον, ἀτὰρ πυρὶ πῦρ ἀίδηλον,
στοργὴν δὲ στοργῆι, νεῦκος δέ τε νείκει λυγρῶι.

Cf. Aristoteles, *de anima*, A2.404b8 sqq.: ...λέγουσι τὴν ψυχὴν τὰς ἀρχάς, οἱ μὲν πλείους ποιοῦντες οἱ δὲ μίαν ταύτην, ὥσπερ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς μὲν ἐκ τῶν στοιχείων πάντων, εἶναι δὲ καὶ ἔκαστον ψυχὴν τούτων λέγων οὕτω· etc.

* * *

151

B 107

ἐκ τούτων <γάρ> πάντα πεπήγασιν ἀρμοσθέντα
καὶ τούτοις φρονέουσι καὶ ἥδοντ’ ἡδὸνται.

Post B109 teste Theophrasto (*De sensu* β10, DK I p. 302.21): διαριθμησάμενος (sc. Empedocle's) γάρ, ὡς ἔκαστω γνωρίζομεν, ἐπὶ τέλει προσέθηκεν ὡς “ἐκ τούτων etc.”. διὸ καὶ τῷ αἴματι μάλιστα φρονεῖν· ἐν τούτῳ γάρ μάλιστα κεκράσθαι [ἐστι] τὰ στοιχεῖα τῶν μερῶν.

* * *

[Flesh, Blood]

152

B 98

ἡ δὲ χθὼν τούτοισιν ἵση συνέκυρσε μάλιστα,
Ἡφαίστωι τ’ ὅμβρωι τε καὶ αἰθέρι παμφανόωντι,

*Κύπριδος ὄρμισθεῖσα τελείοις ἐν λιμένεσσιν,
εἴτ' ὀλίγον μεῖζων εἴτε πλεόνεσσιν ἐλάσσων·
ἐκ τῶν αἵμά τε γέντο καὶ ἄλλης εἴδεα σαρκός.*

Post B35, teste Simplicio (*In Phys.* 32.11): *καὶ πρὸ τούτων δὲ τῶν ἐπῶν [B98]
ἐν ἄλλοις τὴν ἀμφοῦν ἐν τοῖς αὐτοῖς ἐνέργειαν παραδίδωσι λέγων “ἐπεὶ
Νεῦκος... θαῦμα ἰδέσθαι”* (B35.3-17).

v. 4 πλεόνεσσιν Panzerbieter, DK: *πλέον ἐστὶν* codd. Simplicii, Bollack.

* * *

[Understanding, Thought, Mind (Blood)]

153

B 105

*αἷματος ἐν πελάγεσσι τεθραμμένη ἀντιθορόντος,
τῇ τε νόημα μάλιστα κικλήσκεται ἀνθρώποισιν·
αἷμα γὰρ ἀνθρώποις περικάρδιον ἔστι νόημα.*

v. 1 *τεθραμμένη* (sc. *καρδία*) Grotius, Karsten, DK: *τετραμμένα* codd.
Stobaei, Bollack

v. 3 *νόημα:* codd. Stobaei, codex Meletii: *ἄημα* codex Mel.: *ἄναμμα* cod. Mel.

* * *

154

B 110

*εἰ γάρ κέν σφ' ἀδινῆισιν ὑπὸ πραπίδεσσιν ἐρείσας
εὐμενέως καθαρῆισιν ἐποπτεύσηις μελέτηισιν,
ταῦτά τέ σοι μάλα πάντα δὶ’ αἰῶνος παρέσονται,
ἄλλα τε πόλλ’ ἀπὸ τῶν δ’ ἐκτήσεαι· αὐτὰ γὰρ αὔξει
ταῦτ’ εἰς ἥθος ἔκαστον, ὅπη φύσις ἐστὶν ἔκάστωι.
εὶ δὲ σύ γ’ ἄλλοιν ἐπορέξεαι, οἶα κατ’ ἄνδρας
μυρία δειλὰ πέλονται ἢ τ’ ἀμβλύνοντι μερίμνας,
ἢ σ’ ἄφαρ ἐκλεύουσι περιπλομένοιο χρόνοιο*

σφῶν αὐτῶν ποθέοντα φίλην ἐπὶ γένναν ἱέσθαι·
πάντα γὰρ ἵσθι φρόνησιν ἔχειν καὶ νώματος αῖσαν.

v. 1 Cf. B5

κέν (Miller) σφ' ἀδινῆσιν Schneidewin, DK: καὶ ἐν σφαδίνησιν cod.
Hippolyti: καὶ σφ' ἀδινῆσιν Bollack
ὑπὸ πραπίδεσσιν: cf. ἐνὶ σπλάγχνοισι B4.3

v. 2 ἐποπτεύσῃς Schneidewin, DK: ἐποπτεύεις cod., Bollack

v. 4 τῶνδε ἐκτήσεαι Diels, DK: τῶνδε κτ(ή.η)ται cod.: τῶνδε κτήσεαι
Marcovich: τῶν κεκτήσεαι Meineke, Ritschl: τῶνδε κτήσεται Bollack.

vv. 4-5 αὐτὰρ γὰρ αὔξει ταῦτ': de elementis, ut videtur, ratio est; cf. αὐτὰ ἔστιν
ταῦτα B17.34: αὐτὰ γὰρ ἔστιν ταῦτα B21.13; B26.3

v. 7 ἃ τ' ἀμβλύνουσι μερίμνας: cf. τά τ' ἀμβλύνουσι μερίμνας B2.2

v. 9 γένναν: cf. γέννη B22.7

v. 10 cf. B103; B107

νώματος αῖσαν codd. Sexti, Schneidewin, DK: γνωματο σις ον cod.
Hippolyti VII29: γνώμην ἵσην cod. VI 12.

* * *

155

B 106

πρὸς παρεὸν γὰρ μῆτις ἀέξεται ἀνθρώποισιν.

* * *

156

B 108

ὅσσον <γ>' ἀλλοῖοι μετέφυν, τόσον ἄρ σφισιν αἰεὶⁱ
καὶ τὸ φρονεῦν ἀλλοῖα παρίσταται...

v. 1 <γ>' Sturz, DK: <τ>' Stein, Bollack: <δ>' Diels, Wright, Inwood



CONCORDANTIA NUMERORUM

(Pierris, Diels-Kranz)

	P	DK		P	DK
Ia	1	112		25	D apud 136
	2	114		26	138
	3	113		27	137
	4	128		28	145
	5	130+77+78		29	139
	6	129		30	135
	7	132		31	140
	8	115		32	141
	9	142		33	143
	10	125		34	144
	11	126		35	127
	12	148		36	146+147
	13	153a	Ib	37	133
	14	117		38	131
	15	119		39	1
	16	120		40	111
	17	116		41	5
	18	118		42	2
	19	154a		43	3
	20	121		44	4
	21	122		45	6
	22	123		46	7
	23	124		47	8
	24	136		48	9

	P	DK		P	DK
	49	23		83	37
	50	11		84	90
	51	15		85	38
	52	12		86	53
	53	13		87	54
	54	14		88	39
	55	18		89	51
	56	19		90	52
	57	16		91	40
	58	17 + a MP		92	41
	59	20 + c MP		93	44
	60	d MP		94	42
	61	10		95	47
	62	25		96	43
	63	24		97	45
	64	21		98	46
	65	26		99	48
	66	22		100	49
	67	32		101	94
	68	91		102	55
	69	33		103	56
	70	34		104	50
	71	92		105	71
	72	93		106	151
	73	81		107	73
	74	96		108	154
IIa	75	27		109	82
	76	27		110	97
	77	28		111	152 Wright
	78	29		112	75
	79	134		113	76 + bPW
	80	27a		114	83
	81	30		115	79
	82	31		116	80

	P	DK		P	DK
	117	72		137	109a
	118	74		138	88
	119	62		139	85
	120	63		140	86
	121	64		141	87
	122	60		142	84
	123	153		143	95
	124	65		144	99
	125	67		145	100
	126	69		146	101
	127	68		147	102
	128	70		148	103
IIb	129	35		149	104
	130	36		150	109
	131	57		151	107
	132	58		152	98
	133	60		153	105
	134	61		154	110
	135	59		155	106
	136	89		156	108



CONCORDANTIA NUMERORUM

(Diels-Kranz, Pierris)

DK	P	DK	P
1	39	25	62
2	42	26	65
3	43	27	75, 76
4	44	27a	80
5	41	28	77
6	45	29	78
7	46	30	81
8	47	31	82
9	48	32	67
10	61	33	69
11	50	34	70
12	52	35	129
13	53	36	130
14	54	37	83
15	51	38	85
16	57	39	88
17	58	40	91
18	55	41	92
19	56	42	94
20	59	43	96
21	64	44	93
22	66	45	97
23	49	46	98
24	63	47	95

DK	P	DK	P
48	99	82	109
49	100	83	114
50	104	84	142
51	89	85	139
52	90	86	140
53	86	87	141
54	87	88	138
55	102	89	136
56	103	90	84
57	131	91	68
58	132	92	71
59	135	93	72
60	133	94	101
61	134	95	143
62	119	96	74
63	120	97	110
64	121	98	152
65	124	99	144
66	122	100	145
67	125	101	146
68	127	102	147
69	126	103	148
70	128	104	149
71	105	105	153
72	117	106	155
73	107	107	151
74	118	108	156
75	112	109	150
76	113	109a	137
77	5	110	154
78	5	111	40
79	115	112	1
80	116	113	3
81	73	114	2

DK	P	DK	P
115	8	136	24, 25
116	17	137	27
117	14	138	26
118	18	139	29
119	15	140	31
120	16	141	32
121	20	142	9
122	21	143	33
123	22	144	34
124	23	145	28
125	10	146	36
126	11	147	36
127	35	148	12
128	4	149	--
129	6	150	--
130	5	151	106
131	38	152	--
132	7	153	123
133	37	153a	13
134	79	154	108
135	30	154a	19